1	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
2	FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO	- NORTH DISTRICT
3		
4		
5	BRUCE J. KELMAN, GLOBALTOX, INC.,	)
6	Plaintiff,	)
7	vs.	)Case No. GIN044539
8	SHARON KRAMER, and DOES 1 through 20, inclusive,	) )
9	Defendants.	)
10		_)
11		
12		
13	DEPOSITION OF BRUCE J. KELMAN	
14	Volume II (Pages 202 - 359)  Los Angeles, California  July 22, 2008	
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23	Daniel de la lacción de la constant	
24	Reported by:	

- 4 industry bias?
- 10:31:54 5 A Same answer.
  - 6 Q Do you know if it has a pro-builder bias?
  - 7 A Same answer.
  - 8 Q And you've testified on a number of
  - 9 occasions that -- that Veritox was paid \$40,000 to
- 10:32:12 10 prepare the work-for-hire that you talked about a
  - moment ago in the Manhattan Institute report;
  - 12 correct?
  - 13 A I have.
  - 14 Q And you've since changed that testimony to
- 10:32:22 15 indicate you now believe it was \$25,000; correct?
  - 16 A No, I haven't changed the prior testimony.
  - 17 That's what I believed at the time. When we looked
  - 18 at the actual checks, it came to 25,000. So
  - apparently I misremembered the 40,000.
- 10:32:34 20 Q Can you think of any particular reason why
  - 21 you thought that number was 40,000 at one point?
  - 22 A That's what I thought it was.
  - Q Do you know who Paul Howard is?
  - 24 A He was somebody at the Manhattan
- 10:32:56 25 Institute.