

Mrs. Sharon Noonan Kramer 2031 Arborwood Place Escondido, CA 92029 760-822-8026

November 22, 2016 REQUEST to the United States Department of Justice (DOJ) for California appellate justices' removals from office and prosecutions for case-fixing SLAPP on behalf of DOJ contractors (VERITOX) Inc. purposed to cause financially-motivated institutionalized discrimination of U.S. Toxic Mold Disabled (TMD)<sup>1</sup>

**FAXED TO:**

Carmen Romero  
Disability Rights Section  
Civil Rights Division, DOJ  
Fax No: 202-307-1198

Dear Ms. Romero,

Thank you for your offer to expedite this request for prosecutions. As discussed, I decided to write it in direct tone. Please share this with Attorney General Loretta Lynch and Chief of the Disability Rights Section Rebecca Bond. Because much background information is corroborated by link only, this request is easiest-read online at the blog Veritox Means Truth-Poison. The post is titled, "DOJ, Unveil California Justices' Obstructing the Vacating of Deadly, Backdated SLAPP Documents" The link is: <https://veritoxmeanstruthpoison.wordpress.com>

**COMPLAINT AGAINST**

Judith (MCCONNELL) Presiding Justice of California Fourth District Division One Court of Appeal (4th/1<sup>st</sup>), Associate 4<sup>th</sup>/1<sup>st</sup> Justices Patricia (BENKE), Richard (HUFFMAN), Cynthia (AARON), Joanne (IRION); California Attorney Keith (SCHEUER); Bruce (KELMAN) President of VERITOX and the five additional owners.

**UNREPENTANT IMMORAL, CRIMINAL & DEADLY CONDUCT BY:**

MCCONNELL, BENKE, HUFFMAN, AARON and IRION hereafter referred to as ("4th/1st FRAUDSTERS") & multiple San Diego Superior Court judges and clerks hereafter referred to as ("COURT JESTERS") for the creation, concealment and obstructing-from-vacating falsified court documents in retaliation against one of the TMD's most effective advocates, Requester Sharon (KRAMER). Additionally for DOJ prosecution: DOJ contractors KELMAN, Bryan (HARDIN), Correen (ROBBINS), Loni (SWENSON), Robert (SCHRIEBE) and Robert (CLARK) the owners of VERITOX.; and their California SLAPP attorney SCHEUER;

**ALLEGATIONS**

Eleven years of felony case-fixing of Strategic Litigation Against Public Participation (SLAPP) by CA jurists with DOJ Toxic Mold expert defense witnesses who are the SLAPP plaintiffs and their CA SLAPP attorney -- purposed to cause institutionalized discrimination, destitution & death of TMD by criminal means.

Felony theft by obstructing the vacating of backdated void judgments and interest-accruing back-dated liens approaching \$40,000.00. Felony theft by obstructing KRAMER's ability to record a valid lien against VERITOX based on a valid (not-a-twice-back-dated-void) judgment approaching \$5,000.00.

Continuing felony retaliation of a whistleblower of discriminatory scientific fraud -- the "Veritox Theory" -- in government funded health policies, physician educational materials, claims practices, and toxic torts with intent to defraud and harm the American public and American worker in violation of ADA Title III laws and many more.

---

<sup>1</sup> For the purpose this REQUEST "Toxic Mold Disabled" (TMD) means people harmed from exposure to multiple biocontaminants that are often found in water damaged buildings (WDB) and experience long term or permanent neurocognitive impairments, multi-symptom multi-system chronic inflammations (CIRS-WDB), newly acquired environmental intolerances (IE), debilitating chronic fatigue (ME/CFS) and sometimes death. Suicides occur among the TMD from the institutionalized discrimination and mistreatment they experience from the false concept that it is scientifically proven a WDB could not have caused their symptoms.

<sup>2</sup> The Veritox Theory is a linear dose no threshold (LNT) risk model concocted by KELMAN & HARDIN in 2001. It's a nonsequitur that proves nothing about TMD. In 2003 they accepted a bribe of no less than \$25,000 to fraudulently profess that it proves: "*Thus the notion that Toxic Mold is an insidious secret killer as so many media reports and trial lawyers would claim, is Junk Science unsupported by actual scientific study.*" "A Scientific View of the Health Effects of Mold" by HARDIN & KELMAN for U.S. Chamber of Commerce July 17, 2003. They are crooked toxicologists with PhDs who rely on the scientifically void Veritox Theory as Toxic Mold expert defense witnesses for the DOJ, insurers and others. It's a cost shifting scheme funded by the DHHS & EPA in physician educational materials to cause the avoidance of financial responsibility for causation of disability and death from "Toxic Mold". The 4<sup>th</sup>/1<sup>st</sup> FRAUDSTERS have been case-fixing, framing KRAMER for libel and obstructing the vacating of void-on-their-face SLAPP judgments to keep it viable-fraud for Veritox's toxic tort clients, which includes the DOJ.

## I. **SUMMARY OF THE REQUESTS & ALLEGATIONS**

Although KRAMER did not vote for Mr. Trump, there is a reason he is our new president in spite of all former U.S. presidents' and many GOP & Dem politicians' endorsements of Mrs. Clinton. There is a reason why people are rioting in the streets and on Indian land because of injustices in the United States on behalf of the interests of Wall Street and industry – which is why KRAMER also did not vote for Ms. Clinton. With all due respect, DOJ, you are the ultimate gatekeepers to hold fraudsters in government accountable.

Lack of accountability for corruption and cronyism in government and retaliation of whistleblowers under the color of law, have become foremost problems plaguing this country today. As the DOJ has already been informed, the DOJ and Centers for Disease Control & Prevention (CDC) are involved in a deadly organized crime with the toxicologists at VERITOX, SCHEUER, and leading California court officers to defraud the public. KRAMER has falsified and backdated court documents from two fixed SLAPPS, an incarceration record for refusing to lie under penalty of perjury, and communications to and from the DOJ and CDC which prove it.

People are sick and tired of the two-tiered justice system while lives are devastated. Mr. Trump's presidency is the manifestation of inequities of justice in this country – to the point that people would vote for a political novice rather than accept the status quo. The unpunished felony retaliation of KRAMER under the color of law for eleven years in California courts to cause discrimination of U.S.TMD by institutionalized scientific fraud, penned by well-connected felons, is prime example of why Mr. Trump is now president.

For six years, KRAMER has been filing complaints and providing clear and convincing evidence of the 4<sup>th</sup>/1<sup>st</sup> FRAUDSTERS<sup>3</sup> case-fixing for VERITOX to defraud the U.S. public with scientific fraud (See fn 2). Complaints have fallen on deaf ears of the DOJ, FBI, San Diego County District Attorney, California Attorney General (now U.S. Senator Harris), California Commission on Judicial Performance, State Bar, Judicial Council and so many California and U.S. politicians that KRAMER can't even count them.

And all the while injured people have been begging for help to stop the life-threatening, institutionalized discrimination of the TMD, caused by the unbridled and unpunished government-backed corruption via the proliferation and usages of the Veritox Theory in policies, physician educational materials and toxic torts. This is only allowed to continue because no one will punish the 4<sup>th</sup>/1<sup>st</sup> FRAUDSTERS, COURT JESTERS, SCHEUER and KELMAN for felonies committed when collusively case-fixing SLAPP.

For eleven years and at great personal expense, KRAMER has done everything she possibly can to cause the bogus Veritox Theory to cease being used as a United States government weapon against the TMD. This includes causing a 2006 federal audit of the Toxic Mold issue. It was gutted by the Dem Senate HELP's 2007 deleting investigating who has the conflicts of interest from the scope of the Government Accountability Office audit. The following was deleted from the GAO investigation of the mold issue, causing those with conflicted interest to benefit off the backs of the sick, disabled and dying.

*"What medical and scientific standards are used in determining the admissibility of evidence of both acute and persistent health consequences resulting from exposure to mold? Which individuals and organizations have promulgated these standards and what, if any, conflicts of interest exist regarding these standards?"<sup>4</sup> <sup>5</sup>*

---

<sup>3</sup> When 4th/1st Justices MCCONNELL, AARON & MACDONALD began case-fixing this SLAPP in Nov 2006, MCCONNELL was Commission on Judicial Performance Chair (CJP). When 4th/1st Justices HUFFMAN, BENKE & IRION began fixing this SLAPP in Sept 2010, HUFFMAN was Chair of the Executive Committee of the Judicial Council (JC). When KRAMER first complained in 2010 to the CJP about their SLAPP-fixing to defraud the U.S. public, MCCONNELL & HUFFMAN were in these key California judicial branch positions. When KRAMER complained to the JC in 2011, she was sent to jail for placing her request for help on the Internet (just like she is lawfully doing now).

<sup>4</sup> Senator Kennedy's Oct 2006 GAO Audit Request <http://freepdfhosting.com/f18db049a6.pdf>

KRAMER filed an extensive complaint with the DOJ dated October 29, 2015 with multiple links corroborating that the DOJ is involved in organized crime.<sup>6</sup> It detailed the many places that the bogus Veritox Theory has traveled and the lives devastated from it, directly because the 4<sup>th</sup>/1<sup>st</sup> FRAUDSTERS are obstructing the vacating of fraudulent court documents in fixed SLAPP for DOJ's experts, VERITOX..

The complaint bounced around the DOJ for several months and landed in the Disability Rights Section of the Civil Rights Division on March 1, 2016. By March 9, 2016 it was back out the door to KRAMER. Without a single question even being asked of her, the DOJ refused to investigate.<sup>7 8</sup>

KRAMER filed a follow up complaint against the 4<sup>th</sup>/1<sup>st</sup> FRAUDSTERS and VERITOX on April 9, 2016.<sup>9</sup> On July 7, 2016 the DOJ sent a reply with the absurd suggestion that KRAMER could file a civil suit against corrupt California justices to stop a massive crime harming thousands of U.S. citizens.<sup>10</sup>

Civil suits do not cause prosecutions and prosecutions are required to stop the discrimination and force removal of fraudsters from the courts. It's not KRAMER's job to spend the next ten years in court fighting well connected criminals, who would be defended with tax dollars. It's the DOJ's job to spend the tax dollars to protect people like KRAMER and the defrauded public from criminals in government.

Added insult to the public, one week later on July 14, 2016, the DOJ hired the criminals at VERITOX again as expert witnesses<sup>11</sup>. If history is repeating, this would be for the purpose of cheating some environmentally injured federal employee(s) out of their rightfully due workman's compensation and/or to make their and their families' lives living Hell for seeking restitution for disability caused by a negligently maintained federal building. Talk of the DOJ protecting vulnerable people rings hollow when DOJ ignores citizen complaints of organized crime and decides instead to participate in crime to harm the disabled.

*"We should be able to agree, as Americans, that justice is not a commodity to be bought and sold. We should be able to agree, as Americans, that the law should empower the most vulnerable – not oppress them."* United States Attorney General Loretta Lynch, November 15, 2016.<sup>12</sup>

## II

### **IF KRAMER IS WRONG RE: DOJ HIRING FELONS TO DEFRAUD THE PUBLIC – PLEASE PROVE IT**

Linked hereto is a video of a presentation that KRAMER gave at a mold conference, November 2015. Within the video she describes many of the places that the Veritox Theory has traveled, the many lives devastated and some lost, directly because the 4<sup>th</sup>/1<sup>st</sup> FRAUDSTERS are concealing and obstructing the vacating of fraudulent court documents in fixed SLAPP brought by VERITOX and KELMAN against KRAMER. The DOJ was provided this link in April 2016. Did anyone watch it? (See fn 9)

---

<sup>5</sup> Jan 2016 Notice to HELP members Sanders, Warren & Murray, and CDC & EPA of the scientific fraud (Veritox Theory) continuing to be used b/c of criminal case-fixing in CA and HELP's audit deletion.

<https://katysexposure.files.wordpress.com/2016/01/16-01-12-final-to-cdc-epa.pdf>

<sup>6</sup> Oct 29, 2015 Complaint to DOJ, EPA, DHHS & White House OSTP, et.al., divided out by chapters.

<https://katysexposure.wordpress.com/2015/10/30/american-college-of-medical-toxicology-choose-wisely-to-sunset-your-mold-statement/>

<sup>7</sup> March 9, 2016 DOJ's refusal to investigate. <http://freepdfhosting.com/ad10732311.pdf>

<sup>8</sup> March 16, 2016 DOJ Disability Rights reply directly refusing to prosecute KELMAN & MCCONNELL

<http://freepdfhosting.com/2bf3edb2f3.pdf>

<sup>9</sup> April 2016 Complaint to DOJ, CDC, EPA & Dem Senate HELP

<https://katysexposure.files.wordpress.com/2016/04/16-04-15-final-to-cdc-epa-doj-replies.pdf>

<sup>10</sup> July 7, 2016 DOJ insulting reply with no questions asked <http://freepdfhosting.com/c4c4c26866.pdf>

<sup>11</sup> July 14, 2016 DOJ new contract with Veritox, Inc for expert witness services #DJJ16WCIV020802  
<https://www.usaspending.gov/transparency/Pages/TransactionDetails.aspx?RecordID=2A9A3783-80A8-40C1-B6B2-22CB6DF60C19&AwardID=52258455&AwardType=C>

<sup>12</sup> Nov 2016 Speech by Attorney General Lynch <https://www.justice.gov/opa/speech/attorney-general-loretta-e-lynch-delivers-remarks-eighth-annual-judge-thomas-flannery>

The DOJ July 7, 2016 refusal to prosecute the 4<sup>th</sup>/1<sup>st</sup> FRAUDSTERS and DOJ's expert witnesses, who are the California fixed-SLAPP plaintiffs at VERITOX, states:

*"Re: Your complaints against California Judiciary.....As indicated in our prior correspondence to you, the Department has reviewed your original complaint [that the DOJ no longer had because it was mailed back to KRAMER on March 9, 2016] and determined that the Department should take no further action, which determination did not constitute a decision on the merits of your case. We have reviewed our prior decision, along with the more information you sent, and have determined that our decision was appropriate. We therefore will not take further action on this matter. The Department, in enforcing the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), represents the law enforcement interest of the United States, rather than of individual complaints....."*

Is the DOJ hiring liars, thieves and felons on purpose to cheat TMD employee(s) and cause institutionalized discrimination of U.S. environmentally disabled? Or does the DOJ not vet its contractors, well? Or did the DOJ not even bother to read KRAMER'S complaints and requests to help stop the institutionalized discrimination of the TMD from coast to coast – caused by retaliation under the color of law in violation of Title III ADA laws for one exposing the mass discrimination of the disabled? Did the DOJ not even look at the direct evidence of inconsistent and falsified court documents in fixed SLAPP? SLAPP means strategic litigation against public participation -- which is exactly what the 4<sup>th</sup>/1<sup>st</sup> FRAUDSTERS and VERITOX are doing so the Veritox Theory can continue on to harm thousands. Do you understand that?

The answer has to be "yes" to one or more of the above questions because the DOJ claims it made no determination on the "merits" of the complaint; yet turned around and almost immediately hired VERITOX again. KRAMER provided a tremendous amount of documentation which corroborates that the 4<sup>th</sup>/1<sup>st</sup> FRAUDSTERS' unrepentant SLAPP fixing with VERITOX, is causing mass discrimination of the TMD via the bogus Veritox Theory. Why was KRAMER not even asked a single question about the complaints before the DOJ hired VERITOX, again? What did the DOJ do to confirm they were not hiring felons? – because the DOJ is hiring **deadly** felons, proven by the "merits" of KRAMER's complaints.

With all due respect, the DOJ is giving the strong appearance of intentional discrimination of the TMD by criminal means. Equally disturbing, KRAMER'S April 2016 complaint was also sent to Tom Frieden, Director of the CDC. It asked for answer to a "yes" or "no" question. The direct question was,

*Dr. Frieden, Will CDC continue funding American College of Medical Toxicology to disseminate information to U.S. physicians, while ACMT is still promoting the scientifically void Veritox Theory on their website? Yes \_\_\_\_ No \_\_\_\_*

The CDC's May 4, 2016 email response<sup>13</sup> was basically "Yes, the CDC is going to continue to fund discriminatory Veritox Theory (penned by criminals) as appropriate U.S. physician education". To quote:

*Thank you for your letter to Dr. Thomas Frieden, Director of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), expressing your continued concern about the American College of Medical Toxicology. Your message was forwarded to our office for a response.*

*We regret that we have no new information to share with you since our last response. Thank you for your interest in CDC's public health programs.*

The appearance is given that DOJ and CDC are willfully participating in organized crime to sell scientific fraud with VERITOX purposed to cause mass discrimination of TMD workers and others on behalf of the interests of workcomp and property casualty insurers; building and maintenance businesses; and real estate sales, rental and management businesses – including federal building maintenance managers, harmful to federal employees.

---

<sup>13</sup> May 4, 2016 communication with the CDC <http://freepdfhosting.com/7bc4a16086.pdf>



VERITOX has a website. For eight years they have had the following (or a version of it) on the site regarding the SLAPPS in California:

*"Verdict*

*On August 26, 2008, a San Diego County Superior Court trial jury found that Sharon Kramer libeled Dr. Bruce Kelman of Veritox®, Inc., when she published a press release in March 2005. In her press release, Mrs. Kramer stated that Dr. Kelman had altered his under-oath statements on the witness stand when he testified as a witness in an Oregon lawsuit. The jury found that Mrs. Kramer's statement was false and defamatory and that she had published it with malice. The Court of Appeal affirmed the [twice backdated and void on its face] judgment on behalf of Dr. Kelman [that falsely did not state KRAMER prevailed over VERITOX in trial].*

*Nevertheless, Mrs. Kramer persisted in spreading the defamation. Accordingly, in November, 2010, Dr. Kelman filed a second lawsuit against her, to enjoin her from republishing the libel [where the void on its face judgment from the first SLAPP was criminally used by KELMAN and SCHEUER as the foundational document for the court (Judge NUGENT) to feign subject matter jurisdiction in the second SLAPP]. The San Diego Superior Court issued a preliminary injunction against her in May 2011. She willfully disobeyed the injunction on several occasions, and as a consequence was incarcerated for civil contempt of court for two days in March 2012 [coram non judice], and was fined \$3,000 in July 2012. [KRAMER is not aware of being fined \$3000.00 in July 2012, which would have been Judge NUGENT's court. Nor has she received any request from the court to pay a fine. Nor is there any mention of it in the electronic record. See fn 15 Superior Court ROA for SLAPP 2 for July 2012. Could be. NUGENT was doing many bizarre acts before being removed from his courtroom of 20+ years in September 2012]*

*The Court entered [a third fraudulent coram non judice] judgment in Dr. Kelman's favor in July 2012, permanently enjoining Mrs. Kramer from republishing the libel [KRAMER is never to "republish" a sentence she never even wrote in the first place by order of a judge with no court subject matter jurisdiction, NUGENT]. Mrs. Kramer's appeal from the judgment was dismissed in March, 2013, when she failed to file an opening brief. [KRAMER refused to file an opening brief because Presiding Justice MCCONNELL refused to prove 4<sup>th</sup>/1<sup>st</sup> subject matter jurisdiction upon challenge and also refused to be disqualified so no other court could review what her court has done for a decade to case fix SLAPP. See fn 15, Appellate Court ROA SLAPP 2 for the details]*

*Mrs. Kramer continues to post false [proven true] information and accusations maligning Veritox and its employees, as well as California justices, judges, court clerks and administrators, members of Congress and other elected officials. In the interest of saving time and minimizing annoyance, we currently ignore her posts regarding this matter. [FALSE, under Judge Robert DAHLQUIST in 2012/13, the court denied KELMAN's and SCHEUER's motions to have KRAMER gagged again. See fn 15 Superior Court ROA from SLAPP 2, from October 31, 2012 to end. Prior gag orders issued by NUGENT are void (but not vacated) because they were issued without court subject matter jurisdiction]*

*If you are a current or prospective client and have any questions about this, please call us for additional information and documentation"*

As of July 14, 2016, the DOJ is once again a current client of VERITOX. Please call them for the "additional information and documentation" that they share with their clients. Their phone number is 425-556-5555. Then share the "additional information and documentation" with KRAMER. She will show you where the felonies are in the documentation that VERITOX provides to the DOJ and other clients to obtain contracted employment while lying about committing felonies to keep their profitable scam going.

### III

#### THE MAKING OF A LIBELER TO CHEAT THE U.S. PUBLIC WITH THE VERITOX THEORY

KRAMER has a degree in marketing. She blew a whistle on how a government-backed scientific fraud (see fn 2) over Toxic Mold Disability (see fn 1) was being mass-marketed. She was retaliated against and framed for libel in the corrupt California courts to keep the fraud going nationwide.

The 4<sup>th</sup>/1<sup>st</sup> FRAUDSTERS, (MCCONNELL & BENKE) are obstructing the vacating of unlawful legal documents from fixed SLAPP. This includes KELMAN's and VERITOX's fraudulent interest-accruing liens on KRAMER'S property, with key false dates written on them. This theft is rapidly approaching \$40K. (See fn 14, 15 & 16 below) Please open the links to see how extensive the harassment has been. ALL of this litigation is supposedly about KRAMER's use of the word "altered" to describe KELMAN'S change in testimony in an Oregon trial in 2005.

The two fixed SLAPP suits are:

"SLAPP 1" *Bruce Kelman & GlobalTox, Inc v. Sharon Kramer*, San Diego Superior Court Case No. GIN044539, May 6, 2005 to when void judgments are vacated, fraudulent liens are removed and KRAMER is able to record a valid lien against VERITOX (See fn<sup>14</sup> for the five Case Nos from SLAPP 1 in chronological order and links to their Register of Actions (ROA))

"SLAPP 2" *Bruce Kelman v. Sharon Kramer* San Diego Superior Court Case No. 37-2010-00061530-CU-DF-NC November 4, 2010 to when void judgments are vacated and fraudulent liens are removed. (See fn<sup>15</sup> for the three Case Nos in chronological order and links to their ROAs)

On March 9, 2005, KRAMER published a writing on the Internet that exposed how the Veritox Theory (referred to as the GlobalTox paper in the writing) was being mass marketed by the joined unclean hands of federal players, a nonprofit medical association that writes governmental policies & physician educational materials, the U.S. Chamber of Commerce, a conservative think-tank and Veritox to cause discrimination of the TMD and undue denial of liability for causation of environmental disabilities.

---

<sup>14</sup> **SLAPP 1** five Case No. <http://freepdfhosting.com/2796d847e1.pdf>

Superior Court ROA May 2005 to present <http://freepdfhosting.com/75a4d5895f.pdf>

App Court anti-SLAPP ROA 2005 -2007

[http://appellatecases.courtinfo.ca.gov/search/case/mainCaseScreen.cfm?dist=41&doc\\_id=464433&doc\\_no=D047758](http://appellatecases.courtinfo.ca.gov/search/case/mainCaseScreen.cfm?dist=41&doc_id=464433&doc_no=D047758)

CA Supreme Court ROA 2006-2007

[http://appellatecases.courtinfo.ca.gov/search/case/mainCaseScreen.cfm?dist=0&doc\\_id=1880768&doc\\_no=S149090](http://appellatecases.courtinfo.ca.gov/search/case/mainCaseScreen.cfm?dist=0&doc_id=1880768&doc_no=S149090)

App Court after trial ROA 2009-2011

[http://appellatecases.courtinfo.ca.gov/search/case/mainCaseScreen.cfm?dist=41&doc\\_id=1385769&doc\\_no=D054496](http://appellatecases.courtinfo.ca.gov/search/case/mainCaseScreen.cfm?dist=41&doc_id=1385769&doc_no=D054496)

CA Supreme Court ROA 2010

[http://appellatecases.courtinfo.ca.gov/search/case/mainCaseScreen.cfm?dist=0&doc\\_id=1959738&doc\\_no=S187554](http://appellatecases.courtinfo.ca.gov/search/case/mainCaseScreen.cfm?dist=0&doc_id=1959738&doc_no=S187554)

<sup>15</sup> **SLAPP 2** three Case No. 11/10 to present <http://freepdfhosting.com/2796d847e1.pdf>

Superior Court ROA November 2010 to present <http://freepdfhosting.com/13f95f8e3f.pdf>

App Court ROA 2012-2013

[http://appellatecases.courtinfo.ca.gov/search/case/mainCaseScreen.cfm?dist=41&doc\\_id=2027076&doc\\_no=D062764](http://appellatecases.courtinfo.ca.gov/search/case/mainCaseScreen.cfm?dist=41&doc_id=2027076&doc_no=D062764)

CA Supreme Court ROA 2013

[http://appellatecases.courtinfo.ca.gov/search/case/mainCaseScreen.cfm?dist=0&doc\\_id=2043362&doc\\_no=S210102](http://appellatecases.courtinfo.ca.gov/search/case/mainCaseScreen.cfm?dist=0&doc_id=2043362&doc_no=S210102)

Nov 2015 App Court/MCCONNELL denial to recall remittitur not in any file (See attachment B)

<http://freepdfhosting.com/692470b965.pdf>

In most relevant parts KRAMER's March 9, 2005 writing states<sup>16</sup>:

*"By the time the Haynes discovered the mold, it was too late. Mrs. Haynes and the children were exhibiting neurologic and immune system damage....Numerous experts, including a fungal immunologist, an occupational therapist and a neuropsychologist testified concerning the Haynes children's developmental and sensory integration disorders that began shortly after moving into the Adair built home...."*

*Dr. Bruce Kelman of GlobalTox, Inc., [now Veritox, Inc.] a Washington based environmental risk management company, testified as an expert witness for the defense, as he does in mold cases throughout the country. Upon viewing documents presented by the Hayne's attorney of Kelman's prior testimony from a case in Arizona, Dr. Kelman altered his under oath statements on the witness stand. He admitted the Manhattan Institute, a national political think-tank, paid GlobalTox \$40,000 to write a position paper regarding the potential health risks of toxic mold exposure. Although much medical research finds otherwise, the controversial piece claims that it is not plausible the types of illnesses experienced by the Haynes family and reported by thousands from across the US, could be caused by "toxic mold" exposure in homes, schools or office buildings.*

*In 2003, with the involvement of the US Chamber of Commerce and ex-developer, US Congressman Gary Miller (R-CA), the GlobalTox paper was disseminated to the real estate, mortgage and building industries' associations. A version of the Manhattan Institute commissioned piece may also be found as a position statement on the website of a United States medical policy-writing body, the American College of Occupational and Environmental Medicine. [ACOEM]"*

On May 6, 2005, KELMAN and VERITOX sued KRAMER in San Diego for one sentence in the writing claiming it was a false accusation that KELMAN lies under oath. That sentence is:

*Upon viewing documents presented by the Hayne's attorney of Kelman's prior testimony from a case in Arizona, Dr. Kelman altered his under oath statements on the witness stand.*

KELMAN sometimes does lie under oath, but KRAMER wrote of his obfuscating to (unsuccessfully) mislead a jury of how his bogus Veritox Theory was being marketed as legitimate science and of the money given to KELMAN and HARDIN from a think-tank. This was a key element of the Veritox Theory scam.

In September 2005 in SLAPP 1, Judge Michael (ORFIELD ignored the evidence that KELMAN and SCHEUER were lying to make up a libel law required, reason for KRAMER to harbor personal malice for KELMAN, manifesting in reason to want to write a lie.<sup>17</sup> (Reason for actual malice is a key element in libel law) This forced KRAMER to have to appeal Orfield's erred anti-SLAPP motion denial.

---

<sup>16</sup> March 9 2005 "Jury Finds Toxic Mold Harmed Oregon Family, Builder's Arbitration Cause Not Binding." By SKRAMER <http://www.prweb.com/releases/2005/03/prweb216604.htm>

<sup>17</sup> KELMAN lied to make up a reason for KRAMER'S alleged malice. Scheuer suborned it and the courts suppressed the evidence. Various KELMAN deposition testimonies and affidavits of others are in this link re: the rewarded perjury. ASK if you don't understand this and the key criminal impact it had on the SLAPP suits, beginning in 2005 <https://katysexposure.wordpress.com/environmental-advocate-sharon-kramer-us-doj-expertscal-courts-mold-not-a-pretty-story/>

This is how BENKE, HUFFMAN & IRRION suppressed the evidence in their 2010 Appellate Opinion that MCCONNELL, AARON and MACDONALD suppressed the evidence of KELMAN's perjury and SCHEUER's suborning to manufacture false reason for malice in the 2006 anti-SLAPP Opinion. BOTH times they suppressed the evidence that ORFIELD ignored the perjury in his September 2005 anti-SLAPP ruling – and all courts followed suit (still are). <http://freepdfhosting.com/389b0d59dc.pdf>

On November 16, 2006 MCCONNELL rendered a word-smithed anti-SLAPP appellate opinion. She suppressed the evidence of why KELMAN wanted KRAMER deemed a liar for her truthful writing exposing the mass-marketing of KELMAN'S and HARDIN'S Veritox Theory to harm the public. McConnell wrote,

*"Initially we note this lawsuit is not about conspiracy. This lawsuit was filed by Kelman and GlobalTox alleging one statement in a press release was libelous. Thus conspiracy issues are not relevant."*

AARON and MACDONALD (deceased) concurred. Within the 2006 anti-SLAPP opinion they concealed the evidence that plaintiff KELMAN does lie when serving as an expert witness; had committed perjury in the SLAPP to manufacture a false reason for defendant KRAMER'S alleged malicious reason for exposing the massive scam; and that SCHEUER suborned KELMAN'S perjury.

They also ignored the evidence that one of KELMAN'S business partners in Globaltox (hereafter referred to as VERITOX) was omitted as a VERITOX co-owner on the Certificate of Interested Persons disclosure that SCHEUER submitted to the 4<sup>th</sup>/1st. That undisclosed VERITOX co-owner is HARDIN. He is a retired Deputy Director of CDC NIOSH. He is KELMAN'S co-creator of the bogus Veritox Theory and fellow recipient of the bribe to publish false science for the U.S. Chamber of Commerce, Institute for Legal Reform in 2003. (see fn 6 Section VI, for the contracts, cancelled checks, scientific fraud, etc).

To be clear, there has never been any question that the above noted sentence in KRAMER's 2005 writing regarding KELMAN's testimony in Haynes is accurate. From the word-smithed November 30, 2006 anti-SLAPP Opinion by the most hands-on-culpable case-fixer of them all, 4<sup>th</sup>/1st Presiding Justice Judith MCCONNELL, acknowledging that KELMAN altered his testimony after a prior testimony of his from another case was allowed into the Oregon trial:

*"The fact that Kelman did not clarify that he had received payment from the Manhattan Institute until after being confronted with the Kilian deposition testimony [Kelman's prior testimony from an Arizona bench trial] could be viewed by a reasonable jury as poor phrasing of the question rather than an attempt to deny payment."*

MCCONNELL also made it appear that KELMAN willfully answered questions about the money by her deleting 14 key lines from the middle the Haynes' transcript in her reciting of KELMAN's testimony from Haynes, in the appellate opinion. These 14 deleted lines show he and the defense attorney were trying to shut down the line of questioning and only "clarified" when the prior Arizona testimony was allowed in to the Haynes trial – and he had to answer more questions about the money. MCCONNELL also made it falsely appear that KRAMER accused KELMAN of accepting money to author the medical associations ACOEM's mold position statement. KRAMER'S writing is clear that the money was for the U.S. Chamber's paper. ACOEM's was a different version. See MCCONNELL'S fixed 2006 anti-SLAPP opinion.<sup>18</sup>

After MCCONNELL'S denial of KRAMER'S anti-SLAPP motion in 2006, the case went back to ORFIELD who denied a motion for summary judgment in July 2008. He then promptly retired three weeks before trial. It proceeded to trial in August of 2008 with bombastic Judge Lisa (SCHALL) presiding.

KRAMER didn't know at the time why SCHALL seemed flakey for someone who is judge. But less than one month after trial, it was in the local newspapers that SCHALL received a CJP admonishment for drunk driving down the wrong way of a main artery in Escondido.<sup>19</sup>

KRAMER was represented by Lincoln Bandlow and John Schaefer of Century City, CA in the August 2008 trial. No one was permitted to discuss how KELMAN uses the bogus Veritox Theory as an expert defense witness to cheat people out of restitution for disabilities caused. (i.e. the end game of the mass-marketed-fraud-as-legitimate-science in policies and the reason KELMAN was obfuscating in Haynes)

---

<sup>18</sup> November 16, 2006 MCCONNELL anti-SLAPP Opinion <http://freepdfhosting.com/607c400721.pdf>

<sup>19</sup> September 17, 2008 "San Diego Judge Publicly Censured Over 'Wet Reckless' Plea"  
<http://www.metnews.com/articles/2008/guys091708.htm>

This is because SCHALL framed and limited the scope of the trial on MCCONNELL's fixed anti-SLAPP opinion:

*"Initially we note this lawsuit is not about conspiracy. This lawsuit was filed by Kelman and GlobalTox alleging one statement in a press release was libelous. Thus conspiracy issues are not relevant."*

KRAMER was stopped from discussing how KELMAN's perjury to manufacture false reason for KRAMER's malice in the trial. False hearsay documents not discussed in trial, somehow got into the jury room passed SCHALL's clerk, Michael (GARLAND). Two jurors submitted affidavits on KRAMER's behalf for post trial motions re: irregularities in the jury room.

On December 12, 2008 in post trial oral arguments, SCHALL refused to even hear KRAMER's arguments for a new trial. She refused to cause SCHEUER *"into that kind of petty behavior"* of making him explain KELMAN's perjury and his suborning of it. KRAMER was SCHALL's last victim on her last day as a Civil Court judge – the afternoon of December 12, 2008. (Maybe because of the drunk-driving, she was demoted to family court.)

KELMAN prevailed over KRAMER in the August 2008 trial. KRAMER prevailed over VERITOX. By time the trial was over, KRAMER out of money from the hundreds of thousands of dollars of costs, attorney fees and harassment under the color of law. The judgment for KELMAN was \$1.00. (that's right \$1.00)

Adding to the confusion of a court in transition with what seemed a high-strung judge, KRAMER substituted in as her own counsel on September 15, 2008 making her a prevailing Pro Per litigant. Different rules of the court apply regarding judgment creations and notices of entry of judgments when a prevailing party is Pro Per, Code of Civil Procedure 664.5(b) (see fn 22). These rules were not followed by the court aiding to the cause a void judgment being created by SCHEUER, which KELMAN, SCHEUER and some COURT JESTERS have criminally used to harass KRAMER for now eight years.

By law, a void judgment cannot be used for any purpose. Valid judgment dates on court documents and valid notices of entry of judgments consistent with those dates, matter when those judgments are used to record liens with interest accruing from a specific date; to attempt the gag someone from writing of what occurred in the case by judgment; and to jail them for refusing silence and refusing to sign a false confession of being guilty of libel under penalty of perjury.

In the fall of 2008, the judgment on record that SCHEUER penned for SLAPP 1 was backdated twice by GARLAND. This is the reason that the fraudulent lien that SCHEUER and KELMAN recorded on KRAMER'S property is inconsistent with the face of the void judgment -- that the 4<sup>th</sup>/1<sup>st</sup> FRAUDSTERS continue to obstruct from being vacating.

(Linked hereto as **Exhibit A**<sup>20</sup> collectively is the 2008 VOID FACED JUDGMENT which states a false date of cost award to KELMAN of December 18, 2008; the Register of Action page which shows nothing occurred in the case on December 18, 2008; SCHEUER'S submission of KELMAN's costs dated October 14, 2008; and the 2008 BACKDATED LIEN that SCHEUER recorded for KELMAN which states an impossible date of entry of judgment and cost award to KELMAN of September 24, 2008). NOTE: the face of the Backdated Lien differs from the face of the Void Judgment. By law the dates of cost award on these two documents should be the same if they are valid legal documents.

---

<sup>20</sup> **EXHIBIT A**, 2008 VOID JUDGMENT in its current form showing cost award to KELMAN on 12/18/08; <http://freepdfhosting.com/786b95b2bf.pdf>  
Conflicting electronic case record showing nothing occurred in 12/18/08  
<http://freepdfhosting.com/215e4f3381.pdf>  
SCHEUER's submission of KELMAN's cost on 10/14/08 (which include comingled costs by his loser client, VERITOX)  
<http://freepdfhosting.com/9697c43c6c.pdf>  
SCHEUER's conflicting lien on KRAMER's property showing judgment and cost award on 09/24/08.  
<http://freepdfhosting.com/dede578445.pdf>

There should also be a Notice of Entry of Judgment consistent with the date of judgment entry shown on the document – but there isn't for either date of September 24, 2008 or December 18, 2008.

It is not possible that KELMAN was awarded interest accruing costs by judgement on September 24, 2008 like the fraudulent lien SCHEUER recorded, because SCHEUER did not even submit KELMAN's costs until October 14, 2008. See lien pg 2. SCHEUER has known since January 20, 2009 that he recorded a fraudulent, interest accruing lien on KRAMER'S property.

If judgment had been entered on September 24, 2008 like the face of KELMAN's and SCHEUER's fraudulent, interest accruing lien on KRAMER's property shows; then under California Rules of the Court 663(b)<sup>21</sup> oral arguments could not have taken place more than 60 days later on December 12, 2008. No one involved in this debacle ever thought the judgment was entered on September 24, 2008.

The 2008 VOID FACED JUDGMENT that was crafted by SCHEUER did not state that KRAMER prevailed over VERITOX in trial and was awarded her costs. In violation of Code of Civil Procedure 664.5(b)<sup>22</sup> KRAMER was not noticed by GARLAND (or SCHEUER) that SCHALL signed SCHEUER's proposed judgment on September 24, 2008 (which is not the same thing as entry of judgment). The fact that KRAMER prevailed over VERITOX was not added to the face of the void judgment by court clerk GARLAND, again in violation of CCP 664.5(b).

4<sup>th</sup>/1<sup>st</sup> FRAUDSTERS left the 2008 VOID FACED JUDGMENT in effect and concealed it was a fraudulent, legal document in their appellate opinion that did not state true trial prevailing parties or true cost awards. On September 9, 2010 BENKE rendered a word-smithed appellate opinion which made it appear that the judgment on record was a valid legal document. BENKE wrote,

*"The trial court, with a different trial judge presiding, heard Kramer's cost motion on April 3, 2009, and awarded her a total of \$2,545.28....On this record we cannot disturb the trial court's award of costs to Kelman....Judgment affirmed. Respondents to recover their costs of appeal."*

HUFFMAN and IRION concurred. Within the opinion they suppressed the direct evidence of what their fellow 4<sup>th</sup>/1<sup>st</sup> FRAUDSTERS, (MCCONNELL, AARON & MACDONALD) had done to fix the 2006 anti-SLAPP opinion and conceal KELMAN'S perjury to manufacture malice; and the impact it had on the scope and outcome of the of the trial presided over by SCHALL.

**The ultimate making of a libeler** they concealed that the 2008 VOID FACED JUDGMENT on record made it falsely appear that KRAMER did not prevail in trial and was found guilty of libeling VERITOX (DOJ's contractors). The above noted acknowledgement in the 2010 pernicious appellate opinion that KRAMER prevailed in trial over VERITOX was by ruling only – not judgment in violation of CCP 664.5(b).

The lower court, Judge William (DATO) presiding in April of 2009, never added it to the face of the 2008 VOID JUDGMENT that KRAMER was a prevailing party in violation of CCP 664.5(b); and the 4<sup>th</sup>/1<sup>st</sup> FRAUDSTERS concealed that the judgment on record was fraud. (There is more to this story and court transcripts from January & April 2009. DATO couldn't amend the judgment because he knew it was a backdated fraud that should have been vacated by Judge Joel PRESSMAN or Judge SCHALL)

---

<sup>21</sup> California Code of Civil Procedure 663 states, CCP 663 (b) Except as otherwise provided in Section 12a, the power of the court to rule on a motion to set aside and vacate a judgment shall expire 60 days from the mailing of notice of entry of judgment by the clerk of the court pursuant to Section 664.5, or 60 days after service upon the moving party by any party of written notice of entry of the judgment, whichever is earlier, or if that notice has not been given, then 60 days after filing of the first notice of intention to move to set aside and vacate the judgment.

<sup>22</sup> CCP 664.5(b) Promptly upon entry of judgment in a contested action or special proceeding in which a prevailing party is not represented by counsel, the clerk of the court shall mail notice of entry of judgment to all parties who have appeared in the action or special proceeding and shall execute a certificate of such mailing and place it in the court's file in the cause.

As it stands today, KRAMER has a criminally interest accruing, backdated lien recorded on her property by SCHEUER on behalf of KELMAN and VERITOX, with a false stated date of entry of judgment, and cost award of September 24, 2008. SCHEUER and KELMAN know it is fraud because SCHEUER did not even submit KELMAN's costs until October 14, 2008. Oral arguments took place more than 60 days after September 24, 2008. SCHEUER received a copy of the backdated lien on January 20, 2009. KRAMER did not see it until the summer of 2011 when reviewing a credit report.

So it is impossible that costs were awarded to KELMAN by judgment on September 24, 2008. Like the backdated lien, the judgement is also fraud. There is no Notice of Entry of Judgment in existence dated September 24, 2008 (see Exh A false face of lien). There is no Notice of Entry of Judgment in existence dated December 18, 2008 (see Exh A false face of 2008 VOID JUDGMENT) – because it didn't happen.

The judgment was backdated twice by GARLAND. First, he added "7,252.65" to the third page of the judgement without initialing or dating the change after SCHEUER submitted KELMAN's costs on October 14, 2008. (which included commingled costs incurred by his loser client VERITOX) This change after SCHALL'S signature w/o initialing or dating made it appear that judgment was entered and interest accruing costs were awarded on September 24, 2008. SCHEUER used it to record a fraudulent lien on December 22, 2008. (See lien) He received a copy of the lien in January 2009 with the false dates stated on it. (See lien).

Second GARLAND added "*MGarland 12/18/08*" next to the dollar amount he had filled in earlier (when SCHEUER submitted costs in mid-October). It appears that this addition of "*MGarland 12/18/08*" happened sometime after December 22, 2008 when SCHEUER submitted the void judgment for abstract and lien recording. The backdated addition of 12/18/08 to the face of the void judgment was then used for the lower court, PRESSMAN presiding, to feign lower court loss of jurisdiction. This forced KRAMER to have to file an appeal in the 4<sup>th</sup>/1<sup>st</sup>. This is the void judgment that KELMAN and SCHEUER submitted under penalty of perjury on November 4, 2010 as the sole foundational document to begin SLAPP 2.

1. As it stands today, the 4<sup>th</sup>/1<sup>st</sup> FRAUDSTERS can't allow the lawful vacating of the 2008 VOID JUDGMENT or removal of the fraudulent lien from SLAPP 1 (and an additional fraudulent lien from SLAPP 2) without spotlighting that they have been case-fixing SLAPP on behalf of DOJ's expert witnesses since 2006 and burying the evidence of falsified court documents and perjury – purposed to harass a whistleblower of the fact that the Veritox Theory is nothing more than mass-marketed garbage science used to harm thousands of disabled people. They've also been aiding KELMAN's theft of KRAMER to increase daily by obstructing the removal of backdated and fraudulent liens.
2. The DOJ has been using VERITOX as toxic tort expert defense witnesses against federal employees and their families since at least 2004. When hired by the DOJ, KELMAN has used the bogus Veritox Theory to cheat TMD federal employees – that KRAMER exposed was a mass marketed, paid-for-hire science fraud in her 2005 writing. (See KRAMER's October 29, 2015 complaint to the DOJ, Section XI & XII in fn 6 and KELMAN's testimony for the DOJ fn<sup>23</sup>).
3. The harassment to conceal the 4<sup>th</sup>/1<sup>st</sup> FRAUDSTERS' and COURT JESTERS' unrepentant case-fixing and burying/continued usage/obstructed-from-being-vacated falsified court documents with SCHEUER on behalf of the DOJ's hired criminals, became so retaliatory that KRAMER was jailed in 2012 for refusing silence of the case-fixing and falsification of court documents to defraud the public. This was by Judge Thomas (NUGENT) in SLAPP 2, coram non judice.

---

<sup>23</sup> KELMAN hired by the DOJ in 2006 & using the bogus Veritox Theory as legitimized by ACOEM against a sick military family <http://freepdfhosting.com/3696bb70f0.pdf>

4. It was for KRAMER's alleged civil contempt for her continuing to seek redress of grievance from government (via communicating over the Net) of the felony case-fixing by the 4<sup>th</sup>/1<sup>st</sup> FRAUDSTERS in SLAPP 1; and for her refusal to sign a false confession under penalty of perjury of being guilty of libel that was crafted by SCHEUER<sup>24</sup>. The court transcript of March 14, 2012<sup>25</sup>:

BRUCE J. KELMAN, PLAINTIFF V SHARON KRAMER, DEFENDANT.37-2010-00061530  
(SLAPP 2).

THE COURT: GOOD MORNING.

MR. SCHEUER: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR.

MS. KRAMER: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: WE ALL KNOW I CALLED THIS MEETING FOR US BECAUSE OF THE DECISION THAT I MADE, AS I REFLECTED ON ALL OF THE CIRCUMSTANCES SURROUNDING THIS CASE, THAT YOU SHOULD BE RELEASED AT THIS TIME, AND THAT WILL BE THE ORDER OF THIS COURT. I INVITED COUNSEL TO BE HERE OUT OF COURTESY. THIS IS ULTIMATELY MY CALL AND THAT IS MY CALL. AND, HOPEFULLY, YOU'LL BE RELEASED FORTHWITH. I KNOW YOU'LL BE TAKEN BACK TO WHERE YOU JUST CAME FROM, AND I UNDERSTAND THE ARRANGEMENTS HAVE BEEN MADE THAT YOU'LL BE RELEASED AT THAT TIME. YOU KNOW WHAT MY HOPE IS -- AND I'M NOT ASKING YOU TO RESPOND. I'M NOT ASKING YOU TO SAY ANYTHING. -- BUT THAT IS, IT SEEMED TO ME IN OUR LAST MEETING I RECALLED YOU EVEN SAID THAT IT WASN'T YOU WHO HAD ACCUSED THE GENTLEMAN OF PERJURY OR OF ALTERING HIS TESTIMONY, IT WAS RATHER COUNSEL'S EFFORTS TO TRY TO MAKE IT SOUND THAT WAY. I DON'T KNOW IF I REMEMBERED IT RIGHT OR NOT. IF YOU DID SAY THAT OR IF THAT'S HOW YOU FEEL, MORE IMPORTANTLY, I WOULD REALLY STRONGLY URGE THAT YOU GIVE EVERY CONSIDERATION TO AGREEING TO THAT PROPOSAL THAT COUNSEL MADE, WHICH SIMPLY SAID "I DID NOT MEAN THAT." I DIDN'T MEAN TO SUGGEST THAT. I'M NOT SAYING YOU HAVE TO DO THAT. I'M NOT. DON'T HEAR THAT FROM ME. BUT YOU DID HEAR THE IMPORTANT THING FROM ME.

MS. KRAMER: NO, I DID NOT HEAR THE IMPORTANT THING. I DIDN'T HEAR AN APOLOGY THAT THE COURT'S FRAMED ME FOR LIBEL SEVEN YEARS AGO. I'M SITTING HERE IN HANDCUFFS FOR SPEAKING THE TRUTH ABOUT A FRAUD AND POLICY. IF YOU WANT TO SEND ME BACK TO JAIL, FINE, BUT I'M NOT SIGNING AN APOLOGY FOR THE COURT DOING THAT.

THE COURT: OKAY. THAT'S NOT A CONDITION OF ANYTHING.

MS. KRAMER: NO, IT ISN'T.

THE COURT: IT WAS AN EXPRESSION OF MY WISH, THAT'S ALL I WAS INTENDING --

MS. KRAMER: NO. WHAT YOU'RE ASKING ME TO DO IS COLLUDE WITH THE FRAUD -  
- WITH THE COURT TO DEFRAUD THE PUBLIC AFTER SEVEN YEARS.

---

<sup>24</sup> NUGENT jail sentence b/c KRAMER would not sign false confession under penalty of perjury, that was crafted by SCHEUER. <http://freepdfhosting.com/3e2d913e7b.pdf>

<sup>25</sup> March 14, 2012 Court Transcript <http://freepdfhosting.com/0cce163e7b.pdf>



THE COURT: RIGHT. BUT I'M NOT CONDITIONING MY DECISION THIS MORNING ON THAT. THAT'S NOT A CONDITION. IT WAS MERELY A WISH.

MS. KRAMER: **THIS IS A CRIME. YOU SHOULD BE ASHAMED OF YOURSELF THAT I'M SITTING HERE LIKE THIS THIS MORNING.**

THE COURT: COUNSEL, DO HAVE ANYTHING YOU WISH TO SAY AT THIS POINT?

MR. SCHEUER: NO, YOUR HONOR.

THE COURT: OKAY. WELL, I APPRECIATE YOU BEING HERE. AND I HOPE THINGS GO WELL IN THE FUTURE AND BETTER, AND I HOPE WE DON'T HAVE TO REVISIT THE SITUATION. BUT THAT WILL BE THE ORDER OF THE COURT.

5. KRAMER's jailing was to be 5 days (KELMAN and SCHEUER motioned for 25 days). NUGENT released her after two. There is a fraudulent statement by NUGENT in the above transcript. He knew KRAMER was not going to be returned to the jail and released from there because he had her clothes sent to the Vista court house. She was released from the courthouse and has witnesses to prove it.
6. There is evidence in transcripts from January 6, 2012<sup>26</sup> that KRAMER was going to be taken from the court to the psych ward under Penal Code 1368. KRAMER's alleged civil contempt somehow morphed into criminal contempt while she was in jail – a requirement to be sent to the psych ward under Penal Code 1368. Check the FBI records for the false criminal record KRAMER was given.
7. A public defender who was never KRAMER's legal counsel, Tracey Sang (MITCHELL), assisted NUGENT, KELMAN and SCHEUER with this aspect of the retaliation. (See fn 26 for MITCHELL bringing up Penal Code 1368 in the January 6, 2012 contempt trial – where she was never KRAMER's attorney and was not sworn in to testify before NUGENT). In later conversations, MITCHELL would not answer KRAMER's yes or no question if someone directed her to state of Penal Code 1368; or answer questions why she testified in the contempt hearing – that KRAMER did not even attend b/c NUGENT refused to prove subject matter jurisdiction.<sup>27</sup> (See fn 26 where NUGENT states KRAMER has to be charge with a misdemeanor for MITCHELL's 1368 suggestion to be used).

#### IV

#### **THE LYNCH-PIN OF CONCEALED FRAUD, A CRIMINALLY UNRECALLED REMITTITUR**

After the March 2012 jailing the San Diego Superior Court Presiding Judge and Court Clerk Michael (RODDY) allowed NUGENT to continue to harass KRAMER with SCHEUER and KELMAN with falsified court documents for several more months in SLAPP 2 – where the 2008 VOID JUDGMENT from SLAPP 1 was criminally used as the sole foundational document by KELMAN and SCHEUER in November of 2010.

One of the Internet postings for which KRAMER was jailed, was a September 11, 2011 letter she sent to the Chief Justice of California, Judicial Council members, MCCONNELL, HUFFMAN, RODDY and Appellate Court Clerk Stephen Kelly (deceased). Just like this request for help to stop deadly fraudsters in the courts, KRAMER posted the letter on the Net with links so that mountains of evidence do not need to be attached.

The letter was regarding the 4<sup>th</sup>/1<sup>st</sup> concealed falsified court documents, electronic records and the 4<sup>th</sup>/1<sup>st</sup> suppression of evidence of SCHEUER's suborning of KELMAN's perjury to manufacture malice to defraud the public. The post is titled *"Is The California Court Case Management System (CCMS) Being Misused For Politics In Policy & Litigation....And The Fleecing Of The California Taxpayer?"*

<sup>26</sup> January 6, 2012 Contempt Hearing <http://freepdfhosting.com/6bf98fa946.pdf>

<sup>27</sup> Penal Code 1368, requires a criminal charge and the litigant's attorney to request the court that their criminal client be given a psych evaluation. <http://www.leginfo.ca.gov/cgi-bin/displaycode?section=pen&group=01001-02000&file=1367-1376>

The 1<sup>st</sup> reply letter from RODDY is titled "Letter From Clerk of the San Diego Superior Court, Mr. Michael Roddy RE: Government Code 6200 Violations, CCMS & Case Records Over A Matter Of Public Health...The "Mold Issue" <sup>28</sup>

RODDY did an audit of the SLAPP 1 file and mailed it to KRAMER on October 21, 2011<sup>29</sup>. He was asked to correct the record, including the electronic record, and explain why KRAMER has a backdated lien on her property, no legitimate date of entry of judgment on the void judgement, etc.<sup>30</sup> A non-answer, nowhere in his audit reply did he even mention the backdated lien, the lack of notices of entry of judgment, inconsistent court documents, etc. He states the date of Entry of Judgment was October 16, 2008 – which is inconsistent with both the void judgment and the fraudulent lien.

In SLAPP 2, SCHEUER has submitted multiple declarations under penalty of perjury which state that the date of Entry of Judgment was filed September 24, 2008 like the face of the backdated lien he and KELMAN recorded, shows. (See fn<sup>31</sup> for SCHEUER declaration under penalty of perjury from April 2011, where they were crafting the gagging of KRAMER for a sentence she never wrote.) They were having trouble and kept changing it. KELMAN wanted KRAMER gagged from republishing the entire last two paragraphs of her 2005 writing.

One week after RODDY sent KRAMER a non-explanation for the falsified court documents on October 21, 2011, the lower court in SLAPP 1, Judge Earl (MAAS) presiding, amended the 2008 VOID JUDGMENT to state that KRAMER prevailed over VERITOX, October 28, 2011,. (See Exh A, third page of void judgment from SLAPP 1).

But upon objection of SCHEUER, MAAS claimed in 2011 and again in 2012<sup>32</sup> that he could not vacate the void judgment without the 4<sup>th</sup>/1<sup>st</sup> FRAUDSTERS recalling and rescinding their fraud-concealing remittitur and directing him to do so. (Which they can't do without showing their dirty hands in case-fixing SLAPP for the DOJ's felon experts, VERITOX, Inc.).

The October 28, 2011 amended to the judgment was made ONE YEAR after SCHEUER, KELMAN and NUGENT had been using the void judgment to harass KRAMER; and ONE YEAR after the 4<sup>th</sup>/1<sup>st</sup> FRAUDSTERS concealed that the judgment on record was fraud.

KRAMER can't use the amended judgment to record a valid lien against VERITOX because it is still a fraudulent court document with the backdated "12/18/08" written on it. This is an additional theft of KRAMER by falsified court documents approaching \$5K with lost accrued interest.

Given that the 2008 BACKDATED LIEN that KELMAN and SCHEUER recorded on KRAMER's property differs from the face of 2008 VOID JUDGMENT that was submitted by KELMAN and SCHEUER to begin SLAPP 2; and given that the 2008 VOID JUDGMENT was amended to state that KRAMER prevailed over VERITOX one year after SCHEUER and KELMAN submitted declarations to the court under penalty of perjury to begin SLAPP 2 with a fraudulent court document; it is safe to say that KELMAN and SCHEUER committed the felony acts of perjury and suborning of perjury to begin a new law suit. (while trying to gag KRAMER from being able to write of the 4<sup>th</sup>/1<sup>st</sup> FRAUDSTERS and COURT JESTERS case fixing for the DOJ's felon expert witnesses in SLAPP 1.)

---

<sup>28</sup> Is CCMS being used to defraud the public? & Roddy's 1<sup>st</sup> reply letter

<https://katysexposure.wordpress.com/2011/09/23/letter-from-clerk-of-the-san-diego-superior-court-mr-michael-roddy-re-government-code-6200-violations-ccms-case-records-over-a-matter-of-public-health-the-mold-issue/>

<sup>29</sup> Oct 21, 2011 RODDY audit avoiding the evidence that the judgment on record and lien were fraudulent and backdated. <http://freepdfhosting.com/8bd1908781.pdf>

<sup>30</sup> Sept 2011 KRAMER Request to RODDY and App Court Clerk Kelly (now deceased) to explain the fraud in their court records. <http://freepdfhosting.com/aca23df2d4.pdf>

<sup>31</sup> Scheuer declaration under penalty of perjury <http://freepdfhosting.com/7bacb91fda.pdf>

<sup>32</sup> KRAMER's 2012 Motion w/links to 2011 Motion and MAAS ruling. <http://freepdfhosting.com/c88675ba9a.pdf>

In coram non judice SLAPP 2, a second fraudulent, interest accruing lien was recorded on KRAMER's property by SCHEUER and KELMAN in February of 2012 for \$19,343.95<sup>33</sup>. NUGENT, SCHEUER and KELMAN colluded to cause a void permanent injunction that KRAMER is never to republish a sentence that is not even in her 2005 writing. That sentence KRAMER is permanently enjoined to never "republish" is:

*"Dr. Kelman altered his under oath statements on the witness stand' when he testified in an Oregon trial."*

After the false imprisonment in March 2012 where KRAMER was caused bodily harm, made ill and was given a false criminal FBI record <sup>34</sup>; KRAMER did not respond to any more of SCHEUER's and KELMAN's motions filed in NUGENT's court than she absolutely had to, to try to undo the ever increasing theft of her.

She filed exparte motions to have the false criminal record removed (which took six months for the county sheriff to do it); a motion to have NUGENT disqualified on May 30, 2012<sup>35</sup> (which he refused to do); and a notice to NUGENT dated June 5, 2012 that KRAMER would not appear before him again – because he is a "liar and criminal and she feared for her safety".

They played out the charade without KRAMER attending much of their nonsense -- adding more worthless and illegal injunctions and sanctions (I think there is one for \$8,400 – but no lien was recorded by SCHEUER). After they were finished, KRAMER filed a notice of intent to appeal what they had criminally done to her. She waited for the most culpable fraudster of them all in this organized crime, MCCONNELL, to accept 4<sup>th</sup>/1<sup>st</sup> jurisdiction. Then KRAMER challenged MCCONNELL's court's jurisdiction.

At this same point in time (fall 2012) NUGENT lost his Vista courtroom of twenty some years and was moved to another courthouse in downtown San Diego -- with no punishment for what he criminally did to KRAMER with KELMAN and SCHEUER, coram non judice.

Lower court jurisdiction transferred to Judge Robert Dahlquist. KELMAN and SCHEUER attempted to have KRAMER gagged and sanctioned again. Dahlquist declined to do so. KELMAN and SCHEUER attempted to have KRAMER designated a "vexatious litigant". Dahlquist declined to designate her vexatious. (See fn15, ROA SLAPP 2) Linked hereto is Dahlquists' denial of SCHEUER'S and KELMAN's "vexatious litigant" motion.<sup>36</sup>

While this was occurring in the lower court (early 2013), on the appellate level, MCCONNELL refused to prove jurisdiction in SLAPP 2 upon KRAMER'S challenge (because she couldn't prove that the 2008 VOID JUDGMENT from SLAPP 1 was a valid legal document which gave her court jurisdiction). MCCONNELL refused to be disqualified so the matter could be heard in a different appellate court. (KRAMER is aware of a current federal lawsuit because MCCONNELL refused to be disqualified for blatant conflicts of interest)

When KRAMER refused to file an opening brief in SLAPP 2 in an appellate court which its presiding justice refused to prove her court's subject matter jurisdiction, MCCONNELL dismissed the case, coram non judice -- leaving a decade of financially devastating and emotionally draining SLAPP-fixing to harass KRAMER; continued discrimination of the TMD; and deaths by institutionalized science fraud via DOJ's contractors in her wake.

---

<sup>33</sup> February 2012 second fraudulent lien that SCHEUER recorded on KRAMER'S property for KELMAN <http://freepdfhosting.com/9ad27c27b1.pdf>

<sup>34</sup> April 27, 2012 Request to the San Diego Superior Court Presiding Judge and Court Clerk for medical attention. No reply received. <https://web.archive.org/web/20151010232005/http://freepdfhosting.com/d0c2ecc49e.pdf>

<sup>35</sup> May 30, 2012 Motion to Disqualify NUGENT <https://contemptofcourt.wordpress.com/2012/05/30/kelman-v-kramer-motion-to-disqualify-thomas-p-nugent-instant-judge/>

<sup>36</sup> In Dahlquists' court, plaintiff KELMAN & SCHEUER unsuccessfully tried to have defendant KRAMER deemed "Vexatious" after **CRIMINALLY** harassing her with a void judgment for five years to continue to use the Veritox Theory against the sick and disabled for the DOJ and other clients. <http://freepdfhosting.com/01f7a6c48b.pdf>

Simultaneously, fellow 4<sup>th</sup>/1<sup>st</sup> FRAUDSTER BENKE refused to recall the December 20, 2010 Linch-Pin Remittitur from SLAPP 1 that concealed the sole foundational document to SLAPP 2 (2008 VOID JUDGMENT) was fraud – that MCCONNELL could not prove was a valid legal document which gave her court subject matter jurisdiction in SLAPP 2. (See fn 14 App. Court ROA in SLAPP 1)

**As such, this is the true tale of one of the most insidious scams that unsavory elements within the United States government and their contractors have ever perpetrated on the American public and workers. What makes it such a heinous, heartless crime is that all it would take to make it stop and to save thousands of lives from institutionalized discrimination is for two corrupt old women in a dirty reviewing court, MCCONNELL and BENKE, to lawfully cease obstructing the vacating of void and backdated judgments and removals of KELMAN's and VERITOX's backdated fraudulent liens from KRAMER'S property. They can't do it without spotlighting their felony acts while case-fixing SLAPP for a decade on behalf of deadly, crooked expert defense witnesses of the DOJ.**

*"If the remittitur issues by inadvertence or mistake or as a result of fraud or imposition practiced on the appellate court, the court has inherent power to recall it and thereby reassert its jurisdiction over the cause. This remedy, though described in procedural terms, is actually an exercise of an extraordinary substantive power. Correction of the clerk's clerical or other mistakes in the remittitur is a very minor aspect of the proceeding; its significant function is to permit the court to set aside an erroneous judgment on appeal obtained by improper means."* (9 Witkin, Cal. Procedure (4th ed.) Appeal, §736, p.765)

*"Fraud upon the court" has been defined by the 7th Circuit Court of Appeals to "embrace that species of fraud which does, or attempts to, defile the court itself, or is a fraud perpetrated by officers of the court so that the judicial machinery can not perform in the usual manner its impartial task of adjudging cases that represented for adjudication."* Kennerv. C.I.R., 387 F.3d 689 (1968); 7 Moore's Federal Practice, 2d ed., p. 512, 60.23

*"Void judgments are those rendered by a court which lacked jurisdiction, either of the subject matter or the parties."* See: Wahl v. Round Valley Bank 38 Ariz, 411, 300 P. 955(1931), Tube City Mining & Milling Co. v. Otterson, 16 Ariz. 305, 146p 203(1914); and Millken v. Meyer, 311 U.S. 457, 61 S. CT. 339, 85 L. Ed. 2d 278 (1940).

The two morally void old women willfully choose to let people suffer and die from the organized crime to hide that they have committed political prostitution and are killers-by-proxy disguised as honorable justices. KRAMER knows thousands of TMD U.S. citizens who are being discriminated against by the institutionalized scientific fraud of VERITOX, Inc. being covered up by the organized crime of unrepentant concealment and obstruction-from-being-vacated, falsified-court-documents in SLAPP 1 and SLAPP 2.

## V

### **TEN FELONY ACTS BY THE 4<sup>th</sup>/1<sup>st</sup> FRAUDSTERS TO OBSTRUCT THE VACATING OF VOID SLAPP JUDGMENTS TO DEFRAUD THE U.S. PUBLIC**

1. September 9, (2010 PERNACIOUS OPINION) by BENKE, HUFFMAN and IRION in SLAPP 1 concealed that MCCONNELL, AARON, and MACDONALD fixed the November 30 (2006 anti-SLAPP OPINION); and concealed the direct evidence that the 2008 FRAUD-FACED JUDGMENT is fraud upon the court, did not state KRAMER prevailed over VERITOX in trial, and obstructed the vacating of void judgment and fraudulent lien.

2. October 13, 2010 amendment to the 2010 PERNACIOUS OPINION in SLAPP 1, with no change to the void judgment on record concealed that the 2008 FRAUD-FACED JUDGMENT is fraud upon the court, did not state that KRAMER prevailed over VERITOX in trial, concealed that MCCONNELL, AARON and MACDONALD fixed the 2006 anti-SLAPP Opinion for KELMAN & VERITOX by suborned perjury, and obstructed the vacating of the void judgment and fraudulent lien.

3. December 20 (2010 KILLER-LINCH-PIN REMITTITUR) in SLAPP 1 issued from the 4th/1st concealed that the 2008 FRAUD-FACED JUDGMENT is fraud upon the court, did not state that KRAMER prevailed over VERITOX in trial, and obstructed its vacating (awarding more costs to KELMAN and VERITOX).
4. January 20, 2011 BENKE's refused in SLAPP 1 to recall the 2010 KILLER-LINCH-PIN REMITTITUR concealing that the 2008 FRAUD-FACED JUDGMENT is fraud upon the court, did not stake that KRAMER prevailed over VERITOX in trial, and obstructed its vacating.
5. January 25, 2013 BENKE's refused in SLAPP 1 to recall the 2010 KILLER-LINCH-PIN REMITTITUR concealed that the 2008 FRAUD-FACED JUDGMENT is fraud upon the court, left KRAMER no ability to record a lawful lien against VERITOX with a valid judgment, and obstructed the vacating of the void one.
6. January 29, 2013 MCCONNELL's coram-non-judice refusal in SLAPP 2 to prove 4th/1st subject matter jurisdiction upon challenge, concealing that the 2008 FRAUD-FACED JUDGMENT from SLAPP 1 (foundational document to SLAPP 2 that BENKE was simultaneously obstructing from being vacated) is fraud upon the court; was criminally used by KELMAN & SCHEUER as the foundational document to SLAPP 2; had been obstructed by BENKE, HUFFMAN and IRRION from being vacated five times (by this point); and obstructing its vacating.
7. February 6, 2013 MCCONNELL's refusal to prove 4th/1st subject matter jurisdiction in SLAPP 2, concealing that the 2008 FRAUD-FACED JUDGMENT from SLAPP 1 (foundational document to SLAPP 2) is fraud upon the court; was criminally used by KELMAN & SCHEUER as the foundational document to SLAPP 2; had been obstructed by BENKE, HUFFMAN and IRRION from being vacated five times; and obstructing its vacating.
8. March 26, 2013 MCCONNELL's refusal to be disqualified from SLAPP 2; concealing that she is a deadly 4th/1st FRAUDSTER; and that the 2008 FRAUD-FACED JUDGMENT from SLAPP 1 is fraud upon the court; was criminally used by KELMAN & SCHEUER as the foundational document to SLAPP 2; had been obstructed by BENKE, HUFFMAN and IRRION from being vacated five times; and obstructing its vacating. MCCONNELL could not allow another appellate court to rule in a matter that she had been case-fixing since 2006.
9. May 29, 2013 MCCONNELL issuing the (2013 CORAM NON JUDICE REMITTITUR) in SLAPP 2 without proving court subject matter jurisdiction upon challenge and concealing that the 2008 FRAUD-FACED JUDGMENT from SLAPP 1 is fraud upon the court; was criminally used by KELMAN & SCHEUER as the foundational document to SLAPP 2; had been obstructed by BENKE, HUFFMAN and IRRION from being vacated five times; and obstructing its vacating, while blocking any other appellate court to rule.
10. November 9, 2015, MCCONNELL refusal to KRAMER request to cause the recall and rescission of the 2007-fixed anti-SLAPP REMITTITUR, the 2010 DEADLY LINCH-PIN REMITTITUR and the 2013 CORAM NON JUDICE REMITTITUR concealing that the 2008 FRAUD-FACED JUDGMENT is fraud upon the court and so are the KELMAN liens, and blocking its vacating (along with the other fraudulent judgments and liens from SLAPP 2). It states, "Unfiled" and "THE COURT PREVIOUSLY DENIED A MOTION TO RECALL AND RESCIND REMITTITUR ON 1/25/2013". (Attached hereto as **EXHIBIT B** – denial to recall and rescind the fraud concealing remittitur from SLAPP 1 – lynch pin to obstruction of justice for thousands of TMD).

#### IV

### **CRIMES TO DEFRAUD THE PUBLIC, KRAMER & TMD**

Title 18, United States Code, Section 1001 makes it a crime to: 1) knowingly and willfully; 2) make any materially false, fictitious or fraudulent statement or representation; 3) in any matter within the jurisdiction of the executive, legislative or judicial branch of the United States. As Veritox is again a DOJ contractor, when they provide the false documents upon DOJ request regarding what occurred in the fixed SLAPP it will be yet an additional crime. If the DOJ does not request their contractor to provide the documents that VERITOX claims to make available to all clients, KRAMER will know for certain that the Attorney General of the United States is willfully participating in organized crime to fleece the public with VERITOX, Inc. owners, SCHEUER, MCCONNELL, BENKE, HUFFMAN, IRION, ARRON and multiple COURT JESTERS.

The American with Disabilities Act, Title III-3.6000 Retaliation or coercion. "Individuals who exercise their rights under the ADA, or assist others in exercising their rights, are protected from retaliation. The prohibition against retaliation or coercion applies broadly to any individual or entity that seeks to prevent an individual from exercising his or her rights or to retaliate against him or her for having exercised those rights ..Any form of retaliation or coercion, including threats, intimidation, or interference is prohibited if it is intended to interfere"

In addition to Title III ADA federal laws still being willfully violated, the following are California criminal laws being violated: California Penal 134 states that the 4th/1st FRAUDSTERS' obstruction of back-dated court documents from being able to be vacated causing daily-increasing theft of KRAMER are felony offenses. Government Code 6200 states the felonies are punishable by up to four years in prison for each offense. (There are more than just the ten stated above)

PC 134 states, "Every person guilty of preparing any false or ante-dated book, paper, record, instrument in writing, or other matter or thing, with intent to produce it, or allow it to be produced for any fraudulent or deceitful purpose, as genuine or true, upon any trial, proceeding, or inquiry whatever, authorized by law, is **guilty of felony.**"

California Government Code 6200 states "Every officer having the custody of any record, map, or book, or of any paper or proceeding of any court, filed or deposited in any public office, or placed in his or her hands for any purpose, is **punishable by imprisonment pursuant to subdivision (h) of Section 1170 of the Penal Code for two, three, or four years** if, as to the whole or any part of the record, map, book, paper, or proceeding, the officer willfully does or permits any other person to do any of the following: (a) Steal, remove, or secrete. (b) Destroy, mutilate, or deface. (c) Alter or falsify."

When appellate justices are provided the evidence over and over again, that fraudulent liens have been recorded (and valid liens are being obstructed from being recorded) based on falsified court documents; and they still willfully cause the ever increasing theft to continue by refusing to recall their fraud-concealing remittiturs, it cannot be deemed mere legal error. It's bad faith, abuse of authority, disregard for fundamental rights, intentional disregard of the law. In this matter those acts are purposed to be participants in organized crime to defraud the United States public with contractors of the Department of Justice; and to cause bias and institutionalized discrimination of the Toxic Mold Disabled from coast to coast.

California Commission on Judicial Performance Rule 111.4 Legal Error "a judge who commits legal error which, in addition, clearly and convincingly reflects bad faith, bias, abuse of authority, disregard for fundamental rights, intentional disregard for the law, or any purpose other than the faithful discharge of judicial duty is subject to discipline. (Oberholzer v. CJP (1999) 20 Cal.4th 371; CJP Rule 111.4."

**VI**  
**CAN YOU HEAR ME NOW???**

It's quite simple to prove the collusive felony to defraud, if the DOJ is working in earnest to protect the public and assure they are not using tax dollars to pay "expert" felons.

1. Ask DOJ contractor, KELMAN, to provide a Notice of Entry of Judgment and cost award dated September 24, 2008 like the face of the backdated lien he has recorded on KRAMER's property shows.
2. Ask KELMAN to provide a Notice of Entry of Judgment and cost award dated December 18, 2008 like the face of the void judgment from SLAPP1 (sole jurisdictional document he used to start SLAPP 2) shows.
3. Ask KELMAN, SCHEUER and MCCONNELL how it is possible that oral arguments took place on December 12, 2008, more than 60 days after the interest accruing lien that is recorded, shows judgment was entered on September 24, 2008.
4. Ask KELMAN, SCHEUER and BENKE why the judgement from SLAPP 1 was amended to state KRAMER prevailed over VERITOX, one year after KELMAN and SCHEUER submitted the judgment as a valid legal document to begin SLAPP 2, under penalty of perjury (November 4, 2010); and BENKE left a known void judgment in effect in an appellate opinion (September 9, 2010).
5. Ask MCCONNELL and BENKE why they will not lawfully recall and rescind their remittiturs so lower courts are not obstructed from ordering KELMAN, VERITOX and SCHEUER to remove the fraudulent and backdated, interest-accruing liens from KRAMER'S property.
6. Ask MCCONNELL and BENKE how KRAMER is suppose to be able to record a valid lien against VERITOX if they will not recall their remittiturs so the current void-on-its-face judgment may be vacated and a valid judgment issued.
7. Ask them all if they know it is felony to abuse the courts by falsification, concealment, continued usage, and obstructing-from-vacating falsified court documents (to commit organized crime for expert defense witnesses of the DOJ).

There seems to be a wall of silence whenever KRAMER writes of the fact that she has a backdated lien recorded on her property by KELMAN and SCHEUER, which states a date of Entry of Judgment not possible to have occurred (September 24, 2008); and is inconsistent with the face of the judgment that the lien is supposedly founded upon which states costs were awarded (December 18, 2008).

There seems to be wall of silence whenever KRAMER states the fact that the lien KELMAN has on her property from SLAPP 1 differs from the judgment he submitted under penalty of perjury to begin SLAPP 2; and she points out that it's a felony to submit fraudulent and backdated court documents from one litigation to begin another litigation (even if this weren't a matter of life and death for thousands).

There seems to be wall of silence whenever KRAMER shows the evidence that the void judgment KELMAN submitted to begin SLAPP 2, had to be amended by the court one year later to acknowledge that KRAMER prevailed over VERITOX in trial—proving the judgment that the 4<sup>th</sup>/1<sup>st</sup> FRAUDSTERS left undisturbed in their appellate opinion, was a fraud.

No one ever disputes the direct evidence of the inconsistencies, or provides legal reason why they exist. Yet those who are to uphold the law and protect the public from criminals, including criminals in government, appear to become deaf and mute over the fact that these are falsified court documents -- which aid liars, thieves and felons to work for the DOJ while causing great harmed to the environmentally injured public and worker.

### Can you hear me now?

It is a sick joke on the public that the DOJ continues to hire Veritox, Inc. to serve as experts in courts of law – while giving false credibility to the bogus Veritox Theory. The very meaning of the name defines the lie. The word *veritox* is derived from two Latin words, *veritas* and *toxicus*. Together they mean “*truth poison*”.

Two tiered justice in the United States is no justice for the people of the United States; and this matter is the epitome of why so many Americans are fed up with cronyism, lack of accountability in government, and the preferential treatment for well-connected white-collar, white-coat and black-robe criminals.

It's the epitome of why Sanders, Warren, and other Dems are now frantically scrambling to convince the public that they are now listening to the public. KRAMER has yet to hear back from any of them or their legislative assistants re: Dem Senate HELP's role in aiding and abetting this massive scam over the Toxic Mold issue, to continue. (See fn 5 for what the Dem Senators know)

KRAMER, like many Americans, is disgusted with her government. It has cost her and her husband practically everything they own for her exposing systemic government corruption and cronyism for the sake of money – while people needlessly suffer and die from the fraud.

The lawful vacating of one void judgment (2008 FRAUD-FACED-JUDGMENT of SLAPP 1) causes the scams of 1. SLAPP-fixing and 2. that its proven by the Veritox Theory that water damaged buildings cannot cause TMD, to collapses like the house of cards they are. This is the most likely reason that MCCONNELL and BENKE, are criminally obstructing their vacating. Their felonies remaining hidden as felonies when case-fixing for VERITOX, hinge upon their lynch-pin fraud-concealing-remittiturs remaining unrecalled to obstruct the vacating of void fixed SLAPP judgments.

The theft of the Kramers increases daily by MCCONNELL and BENKE obstructing the removal of KELMAN's and VERITOX's fraudulent, interest accruing liens from the Kramers' property; and obstructing KRAMER's ability record a valid lien against VERITOX.

For eleven years, KRAMER has had to wake up every morning knowing that if the California courts weren't so dirty and if the federal government would stop promoting the Veritox Theory and stop using felons as experts; thousands of lives would be saved. Do you have any idea what a heavy burden that is to carry while having to watch people suffer from the continued discrimination?

The institutionalized discrimination of the TMD in policies, physician educational materials and toxic torts would immediately cease if well-connected fraudsters were held accountable by those whose jobs it is, to hold them accountable. So, it's a heart-wrenching crime that increases in monetary retaliation every single day for KRAMER's telling the truth; until the DOJ stops it from continuing by prosecutions.

Unless it is your intent, DOJers, to prove to the public that Attorney General Lynch is a willing participant in organized crime with felon federal contractors and leading crooked California justices, while people are suffering and are being defrauded; then please don't insult KRAMER again by refusing to investigate KELMAN, VERITOX, SCHEUER, MCCONNELL, BENKE, HUFFMAN, et.al. for case-fixing SLAPP to defraud the public (without even asking a single question.)

Please don't send KRAMER another dismissive, incorrect response telling her that she can file a civil suit for the damages to her, and that will somehow cause criminal prosecutions that are direly needed to save lives and help save the dysfunctional California judicial branch. (See fn 3 for who the 4<sup>th</sup>/1<sup>st</sup> FRAUDSTERS are within the leadership of the CA judicial branch).



The Commission on Judicial Performance, formerly chaired by MCCONNELL, is currently being audited. They are fighting to avoid showing the State Auditor what they have been doing.<sup>37</sup> KRAMER is one of the California citizens who helped to cause the audit.

Although there have certainly been horrible damages for KRAMER and her husband, she is not asking the DOJ to intercede on her behalf. She is asking you to intercede on behalf of the public -- who whistleblower KRAMER has been retaliated against by criminal means under the color of law in California -- to defraud, harm and kill them.

If the problem is that you don't understand something, then ASK KRAMER what she means and where is the evidence. Documents to corroborate every statement made in this request for prosecutions are available upon request. This complaint is merely the tip of the iceberg of the fraud that has occurred in SLAPP 1 and SLAPP 2 to harass KRAMER by felony means to defraud the public -- while disabled people are suffering and dying from the aided and abetted institutionalized scientific fraud of VERITOX, Inc.

On a personal note, Ms. Romero, thank you for your help. It really is sincerely appreciated that you have offered to expedite this complaint. It took me a few more days to write this than I thought it would and I suspect there are probably numerous typos.

Please tell your colleagues that I informed you that this complaint would be written in direct tone in an effort to communicate how diabolically wicked this crime really is. This will be live on the website "Veritox means Truth-Poison" by noon(ish).

Sincerely,  
Sharon Kramer

Attachments:

2008 void judgment

2008 register of action

2008 SCHEUER submission of KELMAN'S costs

2008 KELMAN's backdated Lien on KRAMER's property

2015 MCCONNELL/4<sup>th</sup>/1<sup>st</sup> tenth denial to recall and rescind the lynch-pin of fraud concealing remittitur

---

<sup>37</sup> Oct 24, 2016 "Judicial Discipline Commission Sues to Restrict State Audit"  
<http://www.therecorder.com/id=1202770633353/Judicial-Discipline-Commission-Sues-to-Restrict-State-Audit?slreturn=20161022031532>

**EXHIBIT**

**A**

**EXHIBIT**

**A**

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

F I L E D  
Clerk of the Superior Court

SEP 24 2008

By: M. GARLAND, Deputy

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, NORTH DISTRICT

BRUCE J. KELMAN,  
GLOBALTOX, INC.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

SHARON KRAMER, and DOES 1  
through 20, inclusive,

Defendants.

CASE NO. GIN044539

Assigned for All Purposes to:

HON. LISA C. SCHALL

DEPARTMENT 31

UNLIMITED CIVIL CASE

Case filed: May 16, 2005

<sup>mg</sup>  
[~~PROPOSED~~] JUDGMENT

Trial Date: August 18, 2008

Department: N-31

This action came on regularly for trial by jury on August 18, 2008, with Plaintiffs appearing in person and by Keith Scheuer, Esq. of Scheuer & Gillett, and Defendant appearing in person and by Lincoln Bandlow, Esq. of Spillane Shaeffer Aronoff Bandlow. A jury of 12 persons was duly impaneled and sworn, witnesses testified, and after being duly instructed by the Court, the jury deliberated and thereon duly returned the following special verdicts:

1        1. That Defendant Sharon Kramer acted wrongly by  
2 making the following statement: "Dr. Kelman altered his under  
3 oath statements on the witness stand" while he testified as a  
4 witness in an Oregon lawsuit; that Kramer made the above  
5 statement to persons other than Kelman; that the persons to  
6 whom the statement was made reasonably understood that the  
7 statement was about Bruce Kelman; that persons who read the  
8 statement reasonably could have understood it to mean that  
9 Kelman had committed the crime of perjury or testified  
10 falsely while on the witness stand; that the statement was  
11 false; that Kelman proved, by clear and convincing evidence,  
12 that Kramer knew the statement was false, or had serious  
13 doubts about the truth of the statement; and that Kelman be  
14 awarded a monetary sum of nominal damages in the amount of  
15 \$1.00 (one dollar and no cents).

16  
17  
18        2. That Kramer made the statement to persons other  
19 than GlobalTox, Inc., and that the persons to whom the  
20 statement was made did not reasonably understand that the  
21 statement was about GlobalTox.

22  
23        NOW THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that  
24 Plaintiff Bruce Kelman recover the sum of \$1.00 (one dollar  
25 and no cents) as nominal damages from Defendant Sharon  
26  
27  
28

00000531


2029

4827

402  
292

1 Kramer, and costs in the amount of \$7,252.65 <sup>mgarand notes</sup>, and that  
2 Plaintiff GlobalTox, Inc. recover nothing in this action.

3  
4 Dated: 9/24/08

  
5 Judge of the Superior Court

6 LISA C. SCHALL

7 OCT 28 2011 Defendant Kramer is the prevailing  
8 party as to Plaintiff GlobalTox, Inc. the judgment  
9 shall include costs of \$2,545.28 in favor of  
10 defendant Kramer and as against Plaintiff  
11 GlobalTox, Inc. *Spitoch*  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

226	02/02/2009 11:26:00 AM	Motion for Attorney Fees (AMENDED FFES AND COSTS) filed by KRAMER, SHARON. Refers to: GLOBALTOX INC; KELMAN, BRUCE	KRAMER, SHARON (Defendant)	File Details
225	01/26/2009 11:18:00 AM	Notice of Designation of Record on Appeal and Election to Proceed by Appendix and Reporter's Transcript filed by KRAMER, SHARON. Refers to: KELMAN, BRUCE; GLOBALTOX INC	KRAMER, SHARON (Appellant)	File Details
224	01/14/2009 10:59:00 AM	Notice of Appeal filed by KRAMER, SHARON. Refers to: KELMAN, BRUCE; GLOBALTOX INC	KRAMER, SHARON (Appellant)	File Details
223	01/27/2009 03:06:57 PM	Minutes finalized for Ex Parte heard 01/27/2009 08:30:00 AM.		
222	01/23/2009 03:38:00 PM	Ex Parte Application - Other (01/27/09) filed by KRAMER, SHARON.	KRAMER, SHARON (Defendant)	File Details
221	01/20/2009 01:43:00 PM	Motion - Other (FOR DEFENDANTS COSTS) filed by KRAMER, SHARON.	KRAMER, SHARON (Defendant)	File Details
220	01/14/2009 09:03:07 AM	Ex Parte scheduled for 01/27/2009 at 08:30:00 AM at North County in N-28 Michael B. Orfield.		
219	01/13/2009 08:35:32 AM	Motion Hearing (Civil) scheduled for 02/06/2009 at 09:00:00 AM at North County in N-28 Michael B. Orfield.		
218	12/31/2008 10:38:00 AM	Motion - Other (TO TAX COSTS REQUESTED) filed by KELMAN, BRUCE J.; GLOBALTOX, INC. Refers to: KRAMER, SHARON	KELMAN, BRUCE J. (Plaintiff); GLOBALTOX INC (Plaintiff)	File Details
217	12/31/2008 10:53:17 AM	Abstract of Judgment issued.		
216	12/30/2008 02:06:19 PM	Motion Hearing (Civil) scheduled for 03/06/2009 at 01:30:00 PM at North County in N-31 William S. Dato.		
215	12/30/2008 02:06:18 PM	Motion Hearing (Civil) reassigned to William S. Dato for 03/06/2009 at 01:30:00 PM in N-31 at North County.		
214	12/22/2008 04:00:00 PM	Motion for Reconsideration filed by KRAMER, SHARON.	KRAMER, SHARON (Defendant)	File Details
213	12/19/2008 03:00:00 PM	Proof of Service filed by KRAMER, SHARON.	KRAMER, SHARON (Defendant)	File Details
212	12/15/2008 10:03:33 AM	Miscellaneous Minute Order Finalized.		
211	12/15/2008 09:55:04 AM	Minutes finalized for Motion Hearing (Civil) heard 12/12/2008 01:30:00 PM.		
210	12/15/2008 09:52:41 AM	Minutes finalized for Motion Hearing (Civil) heard 12/12/2008 01:30:00 PM.		
209	12/15/2008 09:50:15 AM	Minutes finalized for Motion Hearing (Civil) heard 12/12/2008 01:30:00 PM.		
208	12/12/2008 03:47:57 PM	Motion Hearing (Civil) scheduled for 03/06/2009 at 01:30:00 PM at North County in N-28 Michael B. Orfield.		
207	12/11/2008 02:23:03 PM	Tentative Ruling for Motion Hearing (Civil) published.		
206	12/11/2008 02:22:26 PM	Tentative Ruling for Motion Hearing (Civil) published.		
205	12/11/2008 02:21:44 PM	Tentative Ruling for Motion Hearing (Civil) published.		
204				

nothing occurred  
on 12/13/08  
contrary to  
the face of the  
void judgment

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, state bar number, and address): <b>SCHUEER &amp; GILLET, a professional corporation</b> <b>Keith Scheuer, Esq. Cal. Bar #82797</b> <b>4640 Admiralty Way, Suite 402, Marina Del Rey, CA 90292</b>		FOR COURT USE ONLY
TELEPHONE NO.: (310) 577-1170 FAX NO.: ATTORNEY FOR (Name): <b>Plaintiffs Bruce Kelman and GlobalTox, Inc.</b>		
INSERT NAME OF COURT, JUDICIAL DISTRICT, AND BRANCH COURT, IF ANY: <b>SAN DIEGO SUPERIOR COURT, North District</b>		
PLAINTIFF: <b>Bruce J. Kelman</b> DEFENDANT: <b>Sharon Kramer</b>		
MEMORANDUM OF COSTS (SUMMARY)		CASE NUMBER <b>GIN044539</b>

The following costs are requested:

TOTALS

1. Filing and motion fees	1. \$	383.50
2. Jury fees	2. \$	
3. Jury food and lodging	3. \$	
4. Deposition costs	4. \$	3,895.25
5. Service of process	5. \$	104.95
6. Attachment expenses	6. \$	
7. Surety bond premiums	7. \$	
8. Witness fees	8. \$	
9. Court-ordered transcripts	9. \$	828.95
10. Attorney fees (enter here if contractual or statutory fees are fixed without necessity of a court determination; otherwise a noticed motion is required)	10. \$	
11. Models, blowups, and photocopies of exhibits	11. \$	
12. Court reporter fees as established by statute	12. \$	2,040.00
13. Other	13. \$	

TOTAL COSTS: *These costs are comingled. They include costs by Scheuer's latest client letter.* **7,252.65**

I am the attorney, agent, or party who claims these costs. To the best of my knowledge and belief this memorandum of costs is correct and these costs were necessarily incurred in this case.

October 14, 2008

Keith Scheuer, Esq.

(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)

(SIGNATURE)

(Proof of service on reverse)

0000537



7653

ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, address, State Bar number, and telephone number):

Recording requested by and return to:

Keith Scheuer, Esq. Cal. Bar #82797

SCHEUER &amp; GILLET, a professional corporation

4640 Admiralty Way, Suite 402

Marina Del Rey, CA 90292

Tel.: (310) 577-1170

☒ ATTORNEY FOR
 ☒ JUDGMENT CREDITOR
 ☐ ASSIGNEE OF RECORD

SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF San Diego

STREET ADDRESS: 325 S. Melrose Drive

MAILING ADDRESS:

CITY AND ZIP CODE: Vista, CA 92081-6627

BRANCH NAME: North County Division

PLAINTIFF: Bruce Kelman

DEFENDANT: Sharon Kramer

FOR RECORDER'S USE ONLY

CASE NUMBER:

GIN044539

ABSTRACT OF JUDGMENT—CIVIL  
AND SMALL CLAIMS☐ Amended

FOR COURT USE ONLY

1. The ☒ judgment creditor ☐ assignee of record applies for an abstract of judgment and represents the following:

## a. Judgment debtor's

Name and last known address

Sharon Kramer

2031 Arborwood Place

Escondido, CA 92029

- b. Driver's license no. (last 4 digits) and state:

- c. Social security no. (last 4 digits):

- d. Summons or notice of entry of sister-state judgment was personally served or mailed to (name and address): Sharon Kramer, 2031 Arborwood Place, Escondido, CA 92029

☒ Unknown  
☒ Unknown

2. ☐ Information on additional judgment debtors is shown on page 2.

3. Judgment creditor (name and address): Bruce Kelman  
c/o Veritox, Inc., 18372 Redmond-Fall City Rd  
Redmond, Washington 98052

Date: December 22, 2008

Keith Scheuer, Esq.

(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)

4. ☐ Information on additional judgment creditors is shown on page 2.

5. ☐ Original abstract recorded in this county:

a. Date:

b. Instrument No.:

(SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT OR ATTORNEY)

6. Total amount of judgment as entered or last renewed:  
\$7,253.65

7. All judgment creditors and debtors are listed on this abstract.

8. a. Judgment entered on (date): September 24, 2008

b. Renewal entered on (date):

9. ☐ This judgment is an installment judgment.

10. ☐ An ☐ execution lien ☐ attachment lien is endorsed on the judgment as follows:

a. Amount: \$

b. In favor of (name and address):

11. A stay of enforcement has

a. ☒ not been ordered by the court.b. ☐ been ordered by the court effective until (date):

12. a. ☒ I certify that this is a true and correct abstract of the judgment entered in this action.

b. ☐ A certified copy of the judgment is attached.

This abstract issued on (date):

DEC 31 2008

Clerk, by

J. Plasencia

Deputy

Form Adopted for Mandatory Use  
Judicial Council of CaliforniaABSTRACT OF JUDGMENT—CIVIL  
AND SMALL CLAIMS

Page 1 of 2

\$1.00 in  
judgment at  
\$7,253.65  
in costs  
including  
costs incurred  
by trial  
Veritox



DOC#

2009-0024903

DOC#

2009-0024903



RECORDING REQUESTED BY AND  
WHEN RECORDED MAIL TO:

Keith Scheuer, Esq. Bar #82797

SCHEUER & GILLET

4640 Admiralty Way, Suite 402

Marina Del Rey, CA 90292

JAN 20, 2009

4:18 PM

OFFICIAL RECORDS

SAN DIEGO COUNTY RECORDER'S OFFICE

DAVID L. BUTLER, COUNTY RECORDER

FEES:

15.00

PAGES: 3



7652

ABSTRACT OF JUDGMENT

**EXHIBIT**

**B**

**EXHIBIT**

**B**

WOMEN'S RIGHTS DIVISION  
FOURTH APPELLATE DISTRICT

Division One  
750 E Street, Suite 300  
San Diego, CA 92101  
Phone (619) 744-0750 / Fax (619) 645-2495

- ☐ Your documents are being FILED; however, they require follow-up.
- ☒ Your documents are being returned to you UNFILED for the following reasons:
- ☐ Proof of service missing service on: \_\_\_\_\_
- ☐ No proof of service attached.
- ☐ Notification to post abate required in civil cases on application for extension of time (CRC Rule 2.50(4)).
- ☐ Extensions require original application and proof of service plus 1 copy for all parties involved in the appeal plus stamped addressed envelopes for each (CRC Rule 2.50, 2.44(b)(7)).
- ☐ Need original signature on: \_\_\_\_\_
- ☐ The filed document has not been approved for file filing by the Court.
- ☐ Document is not acceptable for filing because prepared by one who is not a party in the matter.
- ☐ Attorney is not listed as counsel of record, requires substitution of attorney or appointment of counsel.
- ☐ Cover sheet (color) does not conform to CRC Rule 2.40(b) as reflected in in Rule 2.204(b)(10).
- ☐ Brief exceeds page or word count limit. Request to file oversized brief required. (CRC Rule 2.204(b) or Rule 2.350(b)).
- ☐ Brief is late. Application to file "late brief" must accompany brief.
- ☐ Insufficient copies (CRC Rule 2.44(b)).
- ☐ Missing Certificate of Interested Parties or Persons (CRC Rule 1.203).
- ☐ Document is premature.
- ☐ Lack of jurisdiction (CRC Rule 2.204(b)).
- ☐ Part III of Civil Case Information Statement is not attached.
- ☐ Missing Certificate of Word Count (CRC Rule 2.204(b)(1) or Rule 2.350(b)(1)).
- ☐ Appendix requires both Alphabetical and Chronological indices.
- ☐ Appendix or exhibits must be in volumes of no more than 200 pages per volume (CRC Rule 2.44(b)(1)).
- ☐ This Court does not accept Notices of Unavailability of Counsel. This policy does not preclude timely motions for continuance should a retreating conflict arise.

☒ Since The Court previously DENIED A MOTION TO RECALL AND RESCIND  
REMITTANCE ON 1/25/2013

DATE: 11/9/2015

By: [Signature]  
Deputy Clerk