Sharon Kramer 2031 Arborwood Place Escondido, California 92029 tele 760-746-8026 fax 760-746-7540

October 25, 2010

District Attorney Bonnie Dumanis San Diego County District Attorney Hall of Justice 330 W. Broadway San Diego, CA 92101

Re: Investigation of ten San Diego judges and justices ignoring evidence of criminal perjury by an author of policy on behalf of the US Chamber of Commerce, while strategically litigating against the first person to publicly expose a deceit in US health policy – adversely impacting injured workers in San Diego county.

District Attorney Dumanis,

This is a formal request for investigation of ten San Diego judges and justices for aiding and abetting interstate insurer fraud on behalf of the affiliates of the US Chamber of Commerce, by their failure to stop strategic litigation carried out by criminal means; and using the courts to retaliate against a whistleblower of the insurer fraud – errors of their courts. This request for investigation includes Justice Judith McConnell, who is currently running for Presiding Justice of the San Diego Fourth District Division One Court of Appeal.

I have already gone on record with the District Attorney's office over this matter. While I was sincerely hoping this was just an error of the courts as the case went from court to court; an unpublished Appellate Opinion in September followed up by a modification in October, leaves no doubt that this is not an accident. As Mr. Koerber, Deputy District Attorney suggested, I *did* file a complaint with the California Commission on Judicial Performance. However, I am not anticipating any action taken against these judiciaries from that government entity. Justice McConnell Chairs the Commission.

As the elected official in San Diego county, who oversees proper behavior and laws being followed by all elected government officials in San Diego county, please investigate how it has occurred that ten San Diego judges and justices just cannot seem to grasp that it is criminal to use perjury while strategically litigating over a matter of public health.

Attached is my complaint to the CJP along with my Petition for Review to the California Supreme Court; letter to the California State Bar (the second time I have asked them to look into suborning of perjury by a licensed California attorney) – while

strategically litigating to the benefit of insurers and adverse to public health and safety. Also attached is my letter to Governor Schwarzenegger in the capacity as President of the Regents of the University of California. This is because the US Chamber's environmental science carries the UC name while promoting it is scientifically proven claims of illness from mold are only being made because of "trial lawyers, media, and junk science". Unfortunately, the Regents of the UC have been profiting off of promoting this via expert defense witness fees of their physicians, when testifying for insurers in numerous litigations. Mr. Koerber already has a copy of my Petition that was denied by the Fourth District Division One.

When finding libel with actual malice has been proven by a standard of clear and convincing evidence; which has aided to discredit *all* my words for the public good and aids to allow a deception in science noted above to continue, the latest Appellate ruling ignores:

- 1. There is *no evidence* of me even once being impeached as to the subjective belief in the truthfulness of words.
- 2. I have been providing all courts, since September of 2005, with uncontroverted evidence that the plaintiff and author of policy for the US Chamber of Commerce has been committing perjury to establish false extenuating circumstances for my purported malice for him. Proof of malice is a requirement in libel law.

This Appellate panel actually had the audacity to write that the "sincerity of Kramer's views on the science" are not relevant to this litigation or thought process in support of the belief in my own writing; and while ignoring the evidence that I am responsible for causing a Federal Government Accountability Office audit that negates the validity of the US Chamber's "environmental" science, which I first wrote of, publicly, in the purportedly libelous writing where my views are not deemed relevant. (C'mon! This strategic litigation is not rocket science to understand and for what purpose!).

Something is terribly wrong in Justice McConnell's Fourth District Division One Court of Appeal, when six justices cannot grasp the law, that one cannot use perjury to make up a reason they were supposedly accused of perjury while strategically litigating on behalf of the interests of the insurance industry and the US Chamber of Commerce.

As this litigation has cost my family everything we own to defend the truth of my words for the public good; in the face of unbridled strategic litigating being rewarded in the San Diego county courts; and because of this situation being indicative of the courts having a different set of laws when the interests of the US Chamber of Commerce is involved; please investigate the following ten San Diego judges' and justices' roles in aiding and abetting insurer fraud to continue by their failure to stop a strategic litigation carried out by criminal means:

Justice Judith McConnell Justice Patricia Benke

Justice Cynthia Aaron Justice Richard Huffman

Judge Michael P. Orfield Judge Lisa C. Schall

Judge Joel Pressman Judge William S. Dato

A more detailed description may be read in the attached complaints to the CJP and the Ca Supreme Court. Links are provided so the documents may be read online. When you have further questions, please let me know. I am a real estate agent by profession. As such, I document everything.

Below is a video of how the insurer fraud scheme works to stave off insurer liability and has been permitted to infiltrate US policy while the San Diego courts have been *avoiding the evidence for five years*, that even if one is an author of policy for the US Chamber of Commerce, perjury is still criminal.

Watchdog On Science: Corrupt Doctors, the Untold Mold Story: http://watchdogonscience.blogspot.com/2009/08/corrupt-doctors-untold-mold-story.html

Below is a video of the deposition of US Chamber author, Bruce J. Kelman, discussing his attempted coercion of me to endorse the environmental science of the US Chamber of Commerce before he would stop litigating - and after defeating my anti-SLAPP motion, with Justice McConnell ignoring my uncontroverted evidence of Kelman's perjury to establish false extenuating circumstances for my purported personal malice:

Deposition of Bruce Kelman, July 22, 2008: http://www.blip.tv/file/2063366/

Although vast, the problem is very simple to solve. The first California judiciary or district attorney or politician that has the wherewithal and the integrity to put aside their politics and personal interests to stand up to the US Chamber of Commerce and acknowledge the irrefutable evidence of false extenuating circumstances being presented in a libel litigation to silence a whistleblower: "I testified the types and amounts of mold in the Kramer house could not have caused the life threatening illnesses she claimed" is criminal perjury by the author of the US Chamber of Commerce's and ACOEM's Mold Statements while strategically litigating in CJP & PJ Justice Judith McConnell's courts for five years over an interstate insurance fraud scheme – with ten San Diego judges and justices ignoring the uncontroverted evidence; this person of integrity will shut down the deceit that McConnell and nine of her subordinates have aided and abetted on behalf of the interests of the US Chamber and the insurance industry, with reckless

disregard for public health and safety; and with reckless disregard for democracy and the taxpayers of California and the United States.

Thank you, District Attorney Dumanis, for your prompt attention to this gravely serious matter in our San Diego judicial system that is adversely impacting the citizens of San Diego county and all citizens of the United States.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Sharon Kramer

Attached:

- :1. Petition for Review, CA Supreme Court
- 2. Complaint to California Commission on Judicial Performance
- 3. Complaint to State Bar
- 4. Letter to Governor Schwarzenegger, President of the Regents of the UC
- 5. Audio disc of Oral arguments before Justices Benke, Huffman and Iron, June 17, 2010