3,	- 1 00%	test 25. o	- 6
	E1-00.1	76	3
	TURNEY OR PARTY MITHOUT AFTICANEY (Name, address, State Ber number, and		
	inputed number		
	ith Scheuer, Esq. Cal. Bar #82797  ith Scheuer, Esq. Cal. Bar #82797  FIEUER & GILLETT, a professional corporation  HEUER & Suite 402		
	larina Del Rey, CA 90292		
	e:::(310) 277-1170  JUDGMENT ASSIGNES OF RECORD  CREDITOR  ASSIGNES OF RECORD		OSU V
	UPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF SAIL Diego	F	OR RECORDER'S USE ONLY
	UPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COURT OF STREET ACORESS: 325 S. Melrose Drive		\$
	SYREET ACORESS: 323 3. IMAGE		
	MARING ADDRESS: Vista, CA 92081-6627		2007
	The state of the s		CASE NUMBER: GIN044539
	PLAINTIFF: Bruce Kelman		
			FOR COURT USE CALY
	DEFENDANT: Sharon Kramer		;
	ABSTRACT OF JUDGMENT - CTYLL &	Amended	
	AND SMALL CLAIMS	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH	
	of record		The state of the s
	1. The judgment creditor assignee or record applies for an abstract of judgment and represents the following:		
	applies for an austract of jorg a, Judgment debtor's Name and last known address		
	Sharon Kramer	:	
	203! Arbonyood Place		T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T T
	Escondido, CA 92029 —	7 Unknown	
	lest 4 digits) and state:	Unknown	
	o. Driver's Incense no. flast 4 digits]	sonally served or	-dido CA 92029
	<ul> <li>o. Oriver's license no. [tast 4 digits]:</li> <li>c. Social security no. [last 4 digits]:</li> <li>d. Summons or notice of entry of sister-state judgment was personal summons or notice of entry of sister-state judgment was personal summons or notice of entry of sister-state judgment was personal summons or notice of entry of sister-state judgment was personal summons or notice of entry of sister-state judgment was personal summons or notice of entry of sister-state judgment was personal summons or notice of entry of sister-state judgment was personal summons or notice of entry of sister-state judgment was personal summons or notice of entry of sister-state judgment was personal summons or notice of entry of sister-state judgment was personal summons or notice of entry of sister-state judgment was personal summons or notice of entry of sister-state judgment was personal summons or notice of entry of sister-state judgment was personal summons or notice of entry of sister-state judgment was personal summons or notice of entry of sister-state judgment was personal summons or notice of entry of sister-state judgment was personal summons or notice of entry of sister-state judgment was personal summons of sister summons of sister summons of sister summons or notice of entry of sister summons of sister summons or notice of entry of sister summons or notice of entry of sister summons or notice summons of sister summons of sister</li></ul>	porwood Place, Esco	mudo, car y z
	mailed to (harne one	C T . s. motion on a	dditional judgment
	additional judgment		m on nade 4.
1 Jose	debtors is shown on page 2.	Original abstract	recorded in this county:
S. Carl		a. Date:	1 0- 0
JULY DEST	1 1 1 3/2-210V (DC. 100/2 100/2	b. Instrument No	$t/(-(\Lambda/))$
1.5	ALL STATES AND A STATE OF THE STATES AND A STATES AND A STATE OF THE STATES AND A S		LIN ST
Thouse	A Data: December 42, 2000	•	(SIGNATURE OF APPLICANT OR ATTORNEY)
COXQUE	Keith Scheuer, Est.		- line
on 9/2	(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	10. An L	execution lien attachment lier:
	5. Total amount of judgment as entered or last renewed:	is endors	sed on the judgment as follows:
	\$7,253,55	a. Amou	nt \$ or of (name and eddress):
	57,253.55 7. All judgment creditors and debtors are listed on this abstract.	U. III IDF	0 1
	a judgment entered on (date): Septention		
	The Denoted entered of I loator	11. A stay of enfor	cement has
1	This judgment is an installment judgmen.		peen ordered by the court.  n ordered by the court effective until
		b. beer	ordered by the court office
T-Append	SEAL CONTROL CALL	(dat	ate, that this is a true and contect about
	18 22 - 18	12 2. the	judgment entered in this action.
	This abstract issued on (data):	b. Ac	judgment entered in this dotter ertified copy of the judgment is attached.
1		A .	On Der
	DEC 3 = 2008	clerk, by	rvanencea
	0627 01 624	EJUDGMENT_CIV	1. 1.010sencia
	ABSTRACTO	MALL CLAIMS	Code of Civa Procedure, \$5,
	Form Accipated for Mandatory UES Locietal Council of Co-feature  ASHT ST	HEALT J	
			a consider
	Marian Company of the	ad the market	awardens was
	Take Date of Entry CAO	MAK MKWY	awarding costs 37
		4 1	

TO CONT

RECORDING REQUESTED BY AND WHEN RECORDED MAIL TO:

Keith Scheuer, Esq. Bar #82797 SCHEUER & GILLETT 4640 Admiralty Way, Suite 402 Marina Del Rey, CA 90292

7652



OFFICIAL RECORDS

SAN DIEGO-COUNTY RECORDERS OFFICE
DAVID L BUTLER, COUNTY RECORDER
FEES: 15.00

PAGES:

3

ABSTRACT OF JUDGMENT

aconing from 3 was

			MC-010
SCHEUER & G Keith Scheuer, E	HOUT ATTORNEY (Name, state bor number, and address): ILLETT, a professional corporation sq. Cal. Bar #82797 Way, Suite 402, Marina Del Rey, CA 90292	FOR COURT	USE ONLY
TELEPHONE NO.: (3 ATTORNEY FOR (Name): PI	10) 577-1170 FAX NO.: aintiffs Bruce Kelman and GlobalTox, Inc.		
INSERT NAME OF COURT, JE	JDICIAL DISTRICT, AND BRANCH COURT, IF ANY:		
4	ERIOR COURT, North District		
PLAINTIFF: Bruce	J. Kelman		
DEFENDANT: Sharon	n Kramer		
	MEMORANDUM OF COSTS (SUMMARY)	CASE NUMBER: GIN04	4539
The following costs a	re requested:		TOTALS
Filing and motion fe	es	1.\$	383.50
2. Jury fees		2.\$	
<ol><li>Jury food and lodgi</li></ol>	ng	3. \$	
4. Deposition costs	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	4.\$	3,895.25
5. Service of process		5. \$	104.95
6. Attachment expens	es	6. \$	
7. Surety bond premiu	ms	7. \$	
8. Witness fees		8. \$	
	cripts		828.95
10. Attorney fees (enter determination; othe	here if contractual or statutory fees are fixed without necessity of a convise a noticed motion is required)		
11. Models, blowups, a	nd photocopies of exhibits		
12. Court reporter fees	as established by statute		2,040.00
13. Other		13. \$	
TOTAL COSTS			7,252.65
	t, or party who claims these costs. To the best of my knowledge and be ecessarily incurred in this case.	elief this memorandum	of costs is correct
Date: October 14, 20  Keith Scheuer, Esc	Offer state country on Marion	me la	- 37
	TYPE OR PRINT NAME)  (Proof of service on reverse)	(SIGNATURE)	Code of Civil Procedure.
erm Approved for Optional Use	MEMORANDUM OF COSTS (SUMMARY)	A D	Code of Civil Procedure, §§ 1032, 1033,5
Judicial Council of California MC-010 [Rev. July 1, 1999]			139

## Appellate Courts Case Information

CALIFORNIA COURTS
THE JUDICIAL BRANCH OF CALIFORNIA

4th Appellate District Division 1

Change court -

Court data last updated: 04/10/2013 01:05 PM

Docket (Register of Actions) Keiman et al. v. Kramer Gase Number 655500t

Water and	Date	1	Description	
# 2 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	01/29/2009		Notice of appeal lodged/received.	Motes filed on January 14, 2009, by Kramer
000	01/29/2009		Appellate package sent.	
01/29/200		110	Notice per rule 3.124 - with eporter's ranscript.	filed on 1/26/09
	01/29/200	î	Received default notice 8.121(a) lesignation not iled. Dated:	filed on 1/28/09
	02/10/200	S	efault letter ent; no case formation tatement filed.	
	02/23/2009	ir	ivil case formation latement filed.	Kramer
A 1911 L	02/23/2009	in	ertificate of terested entities nd parties filed r:	Defendant and Appellant: Kramer, Sharon Pro Per
To the Party of th	)2/25/2009	re	efault notice ceived- peliant notified rrule 8.140(a)	Failure to Clear Default re costs dated: 2/23/09
0	3/03/2009	M	otion filed.	For Relief of Default re costs/designation
	3/03/2009		der filed.	Appellant's motion for relief from default is GRANTED. Designation/costs must be filed within 10 days from the date of this order with the San Diego County Clerk of the Superior Court.
03	3/15/2009	do	ceived copy of cument filed in I court.	letter from appellant re designation of record
03	8/17/2009	Re	ceived copy of	notice of clearing default

Had Deen entraid

On September

24, 2008 - McConnel

waddenothave Deen

able to accept a

Motice of appeal

on January 14,
2009

Case Header

Case Number: GIN044539

Case Title: KELMAN vs KRAMER

Case Category: Civil - Unlimited

Case Type: Defamation

Case Age: 2221 days

Next Event Type:

Filing Date: 05/16/2005 Case Status: Pending

Location: North County

Judicial Officer: Earl H. Maas, III

Department:

Next Event Date:

**Register of Actions Notice** 

ROA# Entry Date

Short/Long Entry

Filed By

212 12/15/2008

Miscellaneous Minute Order Finalized.

· nothing occarial on 12/18/08

213 12/19/2008

Proof of Service filed by KRAMER, SHARON. Refers to:

KRAMER, SHARON (Defendant)

214 12/22/2008

Motion for Reconsideration filed by KRAMER,

SHARON. Refers to: KRAMER, SHARON (Defendant)

215 12/30/2008

Motion Hearing (Civil) reassigned to William S. Dato for 03/06/2009 at 01:30:00 PM in N-31 at

North County.

216 12/30/2008

Motion Hearing (Civil) scheduled for 03/06/2009 at 01:30:00 PM at North County in N-31 William S.

Dato.

218 12/31/2008

Motion - Other (TO TAX COSTS REQUESTED) filed by KELMAN, BRUCE J; GLOBALTOX, INC ..

Refers to:

KELMAN, BRUCE J (Plaintiff); GLOBALTOX INC (Plaintiff)

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Clerk of the Superior Court SEP 2 4 2008 By: M. GARLAND, Deputy showed the proposed xucks

Wilcoms to be a underly.

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA YUT-ON

FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO, NORTH DISTRICT

BRUCE J. KELMAN, CASE NO. GIN044539 GLOBALTOX, INC., Assigned for All Purposes to: HON. LISA C. SCHALL Plaintiffs, DEPARTMENT 31 UNLIMITED CIVIL CASE Case filed: May 16, 2005 CCC MELL SHARON KRAMER, and DOES 1 [PROPOSED] JUDGMENT through 20, inclusive, Trial Date: August 18, 2008 Defendants. Department: N-31

This action came on regularly for trial by jury on August 18, 2008, with Plaintiffs appearing in person and by Keith Scheuer, Esq. of Scheuer & Gillett, and Defendant appearing in person and by Lincoln Bandlow, Esq. of Spillane Shaeffer Aronoff Bandlow. A jury of 12 persons was duly impaneled and sworn, witnesses testified, and after being x duly instructed by the Court, the jury deliberated and 000003 thereon duly returned the following special verdicts:

Defendant Sharon Kramer acted wrongly by 1. That making the following statement: "Dr. Kelman altered his under oath statements on the witness stand" while he testified as a witness in an Oregon lawsuit; that Kramer made the above statement to persons other than Kelman; that the persons to whom the statement was made reasonably understood that the statement was about Bruce Kelman; that persons who read the statement reasonably could have understood it to mean that Kelman had committed the crime of perjury or testified falsely while on the witness stand; that the statement was false; that Kelman proved, by clear and convincing evidence, that Kramer knew the statement was false, or had serious doubts about the truth of the statement; and that Kelman be awarded a monetary sum of nominal damages in the amount of \$1.00 (one dollar and no cents).

2. That Kramer made the statement to persons other than GlobalTox, Inc., and that the persons to whom the statement was made did not reasonably understand that the statement was about GlobalTox.

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Plaintiff Bruce Kelman recover the sum of \$1.00 (one dollar and no cents) as nominal damages from Defendant Sharon

pt. Martiness	These initials and date were added some time after
Bellevije, Parl <sub>imand</sub> are	12/22/03 when this decome will submitted
1	
2	
3	Plaintiff GlobalTox, Inc. recover nothing in this action.
	21 1 ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) A
4	Dated: 9/24/08 John Dolan
5	Judge of the Superior Court
6	LISA C. SCHALL
7	OCT 28 2011 Dependant Kramer is the prevailing
8	Party as to Plaint PP Globaltar, Inc. The judgment
9	shall include costs of \$1,545.18 in forond of
10	defendant hramer and as against PlainAff
11	Global tox, the Dutuel
12	PANARO DE LA COMPANA DE LA COM
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	3

### Mrs. Sharon Noonan Kramer 2031 Arborwood Place Escondido, CA 92029

October 29, 2015

Mr. Bruce J. Kelman, President Veritox, Inc. 18372 Redmond Way Redmond, WA 98052

Dear Mr. Kelman,

Re: Removal of your and Veritox, Inc's, fraudulent liens on my property and the need to shut the doors to your illicit interstate enterprise, Veritox, Inc.

Enclosed is a letter to the American College of Medical Toxicology (ACMT). It is a request that they sunset their mold position statement which promotes your scientific fraud over the mold issue. As ACOEM and AAAAI no longer are selling your "garbage science", ACMT is the last "learned body" of your "esteemed colleagues" to do so. Within the letter, I go into great detail of what a miserable human being you are who has harmed the lives of thousands for profit. For instance my letter to ACMT (and several others) states:

"Over the years I have heard Veritox, Inc. owners and affiliates referred to as 'snake oil salesmen', 'doubt-sellers', 'tobacco scientists', 'insurer-hired-guns' [76], 'front men of industry', 'environmental health hazards', 'defense whores' and 'criminals' possessing 'toxic clout. For good cause based on a decade-worth of irrefutable direct evidence, I find all of these characterizations to be true and accurate."

The letter will be posted on Katy's Exposure blog this evening. You may read it by searching the blog title "American College of Medical Toxicology, Choose Wisely To Sunset Your Mold Statement" or by putting the short link for the blog in a search engine. The short link: <a href="http://wp.me/plYPz-3VW">http://wp.me/plYPz-3VW</a>.

If there are any misstatements of facts about you or your ilk associated with Veritox, Inc. within the blog-posted letter, please let me know by providing direct, refuting evidence and I will correct it. In the meantime, although I have never said this to you before I say it to you now from the bottom of my heart:

# "May your children rot in Hell for the crimes of their fathers and mothers condemning so many children to Hell here on earth."

Just kidding! Inside joke. I don't even know if you and your ilk at Veritox, Inc. even have any children to rot with you in Hell.

In the meantime, please direct your corrupt California licensed attorney, Keith Scheuer, to remove the tens of thousands of dollars liens that you and Veritox placed on my property by fraudulent means. Please let me know when they are removed. The evidence of the fraud is found as linked and attached exhibit in the enclosed letter.

Then please shut down Veritox, Inc. You and your corporation affiliates are crooks, liars, and deadly menaces to society. Thank you for your prompt attention to these matters.

Sharon noonan Kramer

Mrs. Sharon Noonan Kramer

Enclosure: (1)

#### Mrs. Sharon Noonan Kramer 2031 Arborwood Place Escondido, CA 92029

October 29, 2015

Justice Judith McConnell, Presiding Justice California Fourth District Division One Court of Appeal Symphony Towers 750 B Street, Suite 300 San Diego, California 92101

Justice McConnell,

RE: Recall and rescind the three remittiturs from the SLAPP suits you fixed to frame me for libel and cause the judgments to be vacated/fraudulent liens on my property removed.

Attached is a letter to the American College of Medical Toxicology (ACMT) requesting that they sunset their mold position statement – that relies on a scientific fraud you have aided and abetted to continue by case-fixing from your bench. (2006-2013).

Within the letter I go into great detail of just how hypocritically corrupt the  $4^{th}/1^{st}$  judicial officers are, especially you. I discuss the ten years of discrimination of the environmentally disabled you have caused, nationwide. by your criminal acts under the color of law.

You took an oath of office to protect the public and the Constitution from enemies both foreign and domestic. Yet, with strokes of your twisted pen while trying to make me appear a liar for exposing a massive fraud that harms thousands — you have physically harmed, disabled and killed more Americans than ISIS could ever hope to in their wildest dreams.

You have the ability to make it stop by recalling the fraudulent remittiturs from the fixed SLAPP suits Kelman & GlobalTox v. Kramer (2005-2013) and Kelman v. Kramer (2010-2013) of your own accord.

"a remittitur may be recalled on the reviewing court's own motion, on motion or petition after notice supported by affidavits, or on stipulation setting forth the facts which will justify the granting of the order is now determined by rule. (Rule 25(d), Rules on Appeal; 36 Cal.2d at p. 22.)... Other than for the correction of clerical errors, the recall may be ordered on the ground of fraud, mistake or inadvertence."

Please don't act like Bill Cosby and pretend you have not committed crimes until someone makes you acknowledge it. The body count is still piling up from your fraud upon the court. Please recall and rescind the remittiturs of your own accord.

Thank you,

Mrs. Sharon Noonan Kramer

Sharon neonan Gramer

Enclosures: (2)

Proof that you and fellow officers of your court concealed a void judgment in SLAPP.

Letter to ACMT (and others)

47 - 115EU 41 - 115EU

र प्राप्त अक्षेत्रका । व्याप्त अप १ जा व

Shahar hooned knamed 2031 Ouborn-ood place psoondedo, est 92029 SAF Steach Means in miles

Urbrich Blazzet Heberthan Herrifetter Barreller KBALLPRI SZUZE

WEN ford adde that did not happen on 12/19/08 a-24-2006, "progressty 1 SEP 2 4 2008 2 BY: M. GARLAND, Deputy 3 4 5 CALIFORNIA 6 7 TH DISTRICT 8 NO44539 r All Purposes to: 9 for all secting SCHALL 10 (VI), CASE 11 May 16, 2005 12 13 Dato's cleur-presiding godogen August 18, 2008 14 SHI thi 15 of the n. C. San Dieco land This action came on regulariy for trial by jury on 16 17 August 18, 2008, with Plaintiffs appearing in person and by 18 Esq. of Scheuer & Gillett, and Defenuant 19 appearing in person and by Lincoth handlow, Esq. of Spillane Keith Scheuer, 20 Shaefier Aronoff Bundlew. A jury of 12 persons was duly 21 22 witnesses tostified, and after being 23 impaneled and sworm, duly instructed by the Court, the jury deliberated and 24 thereon duly returned the following special verdicts: 25 26 27 [PROPOSED] JUNGMENT 28

That Defendant Sharon Kramer acted wrongly by 1. making the following statement: "Dr. Kelman altered his under oath statements on the witness stand" while he testified as a witness in an Oregon lawsuit; that Kramer made the above statement to persons other than Kelman; that the persons to whom the statement was made reasonably understood that the statement was about Bruce Kelman; that persons who read the statement reasonably could have understood it to mean that Kelman had committed to crime of perjucy or testified falsely while on the witness stand; that the statement was talse; that Kelman proved, by clear and convincing evidence, that Kramer knew the statement was false, or had serious doubts about the truth of the statement; and that Kelman be awarded a monetary sum of nominal damages in the amount of \$1.00 (one dollar and no cents).

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

7. That Kramer made the statement to persons other than GlobalTox, Inc., and that the persons to whom the statement was made did not reasonably understand that the statement was about GlobalTox.

NOW THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that Plaintiff Bruce Kelman recover the sum of \$1.00 (one dollar and no cents) as nominal damages from Defendant Sharon



Milesteral West. Kramer, and costs in the amount of \$ 464 by 1 and Plaintiff GlobalTox, Inc. recover nothing in this perion. 1 2 3 4 the Superior LISA C. 3CHALL 5 m Garland filled in "7,252.65" in mied Oct 2008. 33 me Kelman's attorney submitted the falsifical 10 count document back to the count on \$122108 11 for abstract recording. Interest accurs from 12 9/24/08 Decourse Garland did mot date and 13 unitial the Change he made to the face of a 15 16 legal document toto in mid Oct, on 12/22/08 & filed motions for reconsideration 18 after trial, michael Garland their added 19 20 "MGadard 12/18/08" mext to the fraudulant afteraton he made u 10/08. the fraud of the back-dating was there used as an excuse to hear my motions - which caused me to have to pppeal, gastices Huffman, Benke and Irourd, Troud, JODGMENT JUDGMENT JUDGMEN JUDGMENT JUDGMENT JUDGMENT JUDGMENT JUDGMENT JUDGMEN J This document was then weld in the Second of 1083 as the foundational document to the

	-
PROOF OF SER	VICE
	7

I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action. My business address is 4640 Admiralty Way, Suite 402, Marina Del Rey, California 9029 X On August 28, 2008, Served the foregoing [PROPOSED] JUDGMENT on the interested parties in this action by placing a true copy

thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope addressed as follows:

Lincoln D. Bandlow, Esq. David Aronoff, Esq. SPILLANE SHAFFFER ARONOFF BANDLOW 1880 Century Park East, Suite 1004 Los Angeles, California 90067-1623 Attorney for Defendant Sharon Kramer

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

= 1) Cherk of the Seperior Court SEP 2 4 7008 By. N. GARLAND, Deputy

[ X ] BY MAII. - I caused each such envelope with postage thereon fully prepaid to be placed in the United States mail at Marina Del Rey, California. I am "readily familiar" with the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice, it would be deposited in the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid at Marina Del Rey, California in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit,

BY PERSONAL SERVICE - I delivered by hand such envelopes to the offices of the addressees.

BY FACSIMILE 1 sent such document from facsimile machine (310) 301-0035 on August 28, 2008. I certify that said transmission was completed and that all pages were received and that a report was generated by said facsimile machine that confirms the transmission and receipt. I thereafter mailed a copy to the interested party by placing a true copy thereof enclosed in a sealed envelope addressed to the party listed above.

EXECUTED on August 28, 2008 at Marina Del Rey, California.

[X] (STATE) - I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.

Keith Scheuer

my attorney at trial, mr Banddow Claims he never recoved this document, nor did . There is no motice of rentre, or proof of Struce. dotable 9/08 Theyellow post it on the front pas Conceal court document falsification

Case Header

Case Number: GIN044539

Case Title: KELMAN vs KRAMER

Case Category: Civil - Unlimited

Case Type: Defamation

Case Age: 2221 days

Next Event Type:

Filing Date: 05/16/2005 Case Status: Pending

Location: North County

Judicial Officer: Earl H. Maas, III

Department:

Next Event Date:

Register of Actions Notice

ROA# Entry Date

Short/Long Entry

Filed By

212 12/15/2008

Miscellaneous Minute Order Finalized.

THING Occurred in the

213 12/19/2008

Refers to:

KRAMER, SHARON (Defendant)

214 12/22/2008

;

Motion for Reconsideration filed by KRAMER, SHARON.

Refers to:

KRAMER, SHARON (Defendant)

215 12/30/2008

Motion Hearing (Civil) reassigned to William S. Dato for 03/06/2009 at 01:30:00 PM in N-31 at North County.

216 12/30/2008

Motion Hearing (Civil) scheduled for 03/06/2009 at 01:30:00 PM at North County in N-31 William S.

Dato.

218 12/31/2008

Motion - Other (TO TAX COSTS REQUESTED) filed by KELMAN, BRUCE J; GLOBALTOX, INC...

Refers to:

KELMAN, BRUCE J (Plaintiff); GLOBALTOX INC (Plaintiff)

The same of the sa

# FOURTH APPELLATE DISTRICT

Division One

. 756 B Street Strite 300 San Diego, CA 92101

Phone: (619) 744-0750 / Fam: (619) 645-2495

Total Housewest are being allegin Homenes, then teahne tohom-ne
Four documents are being returned to you UNFILED for the following reasons:
Froof of pervice missing service on:
No proof of service attached.
Notification to <u>unut ofient</u> required in civil cases on application for extension of time (CRC Rule 8.60(f),
Extensions require <u>original application</u> and <u>proof of service</u> plus 1 copy for all parties involved in the appeal <u>plus</u> stamped addressed envelopes for each (CRC leuis 8.50, 8.44(p)[7]).
Need original signature on:
The fined document has not been approved for far filing by the Court.
Document is not acceptable for filing because profiered by one who is not a party in the matter.
Attorney is not listed as counsel of record, requires substitution of attorney or appointment of counsel.
Cover sineet (color) does not conform to CRC Faile 2.40(b) als referred to in Paile 2.204(b)(10)
Erief exceeds page of word count limit. Request to file overcized binef required. (CRC Trule 8.204(c) or Rule 8.360(b)).
Brief is late. Application to die "late brief" must accompany brief.
insufficient copies (CRC Rule 5 44(b)).
Missing Certificate of Interested Entitles of Persons (CRC Rule 8.208,
Document is premature
Lack of Junamenton (CRC Fmie S.264(p)).
Part III of Civil Case information Statement is not attached.
Wissing Certificate of Word Count (CRC Rule 8.204(c)(1)or Pule 8.350(b)(1)).
Appendur requires both Alphabencal and Chronological indices.
Appendin of enhibits must be in volumes of no more than 300 pages per volume (CRC Rule \$.144 to [1]
Thus Court does not accept Nonces of Unavailability of Councel. This policy does not preclude timely motions for continuance should a calendaring conflict arise.
REMOTERUR ON 1/25/2013
44. E 115/11/4/2015 Deputy Clerk

F I L E D
Clerk of the Superior Court

JAN 0 7 2009

By: M. GARLAND, Deputy

# SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

## FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN DIEGO

### NORTH COUNTY BRANCH

BRUCE J. KELMAN & GLOBALTOX, INC. an individual, Plaintiffs,

SHARON KRAMER, and DOES 1 through 20, Inclusive,

Defendant.

Case No. GIN044539

ORDER ON MOTIONS FOR RECONSIDERATION

The Motions for Reconsideration filed by Defendant Sharon Kramer came before the Honorable Joel M. Pressman, Judge presiding in Department 21 of the above-entitled Court. The Court denies Defendant Kramer's Motions for Reconsideration on the grounds that this Court lacks jurisdiction to rule on the motions. The court loses jurisdiction to rule on a pending motion for reconsideration after entry of judgment. APRI Ins. Co. v. Sup.Ct. (1999) 76 CA4th 176, 181. The Amended Judgment was entered in this case on December 18, 2008.

IT IS SO ORDERED.
Date: //7/09

JOEL M. PRESSMAN JURIS

7: